SANDIA NATIONAL LABORATORIES
WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT

ANALYSIS REPORT FOR PARAMETER SAMPLING IN THE REPLACEMENT PANELS PLANNED CHANGE REQUEST (RPPCR)

REVISION 0

Author:	Todd R. Zeitler	*Signature on file*	11/6/2023
	Print	Signature	Date
Technical Reviewer:	Paul Docherty	*Signature on file*	11/6/2023
	Print	Signature	Date
QA Reviewer:	Shelly Nielsen	Shelly R. Nielsen	11-7-2023
	Print	Signature	Date
Management Reviewer:	Steve Wagner		11/7/2023
	Print	Signature	Date

ERMS #579721

NOVEMBER 2023

WIPP:4.4.1.2.1:PA:QA-L:578831

Information Only

This page intentionally left blank.

Information Only

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY XVI

1.0 INTRODUCTION 1

1.1 Changes Since the CRA-2019 2
1.1.1 Updated Actinide Solubility Uncertainty Multipliers (SOLMOD3:SOLVAR and SOLMOD4:SOLVAR) 2
1.1.2 Updated Permeability of a Borehole After Plug Failure and Degradation of Borehole Materials (BH_SAND:PRMX_LOG) 2
1.1.3 Updated Brine Intrusion Probability (GLOBAL:PBRINE) 3
1.1.4 Updated Sampling of Inundated Steel Corrosion Rate (STEEL:CORRMCO2) 3
1.1.5 LHS Code Updates 3

2.0 CONCEPTUAL APPROACH FOR THE RPPCR 6

3.0 PARAMETER SAMPLING METHODOLOGY 8

3.1 Parameters Sampled for the RPPCR 9
3.2 Correlation Matrix Assignments 12
3.3 Conditional Relationship Assignments 12
3.3.1 MgO Hydration Rates 13
3.3.2 Steel Corrosion Rates 13
3.4 Student vs. Normal Distribution for MgO Hydration Rates 14
3.5 Run Control 15
3.5.1 PRELHS Input and Output Files 15
3.5.2 LHS Output Files 16

4.0 RESULTS 18

4.1 Parameters With Updated Sampled Parameters Since the CRA-2019 PA 18
4.1.1 SOLMOD3:SOLVAR 18
4.1.2 SOLMOD4:SOLVAR 19
4.1.3 BH_SAND:PRMX_LOG 20
4.1.4 GLOBAL:PBRINE 21
4.1.5 STEEL:CORRMCO2 22
4.2 Calculated Correlations Between Variables 23
4.3 Parameters With Conditional Relationships 25
4.3.1 WAS_AREA:GRATMICH 25
4.3.2 PCS_T2:POROSITY 27

Information Only

4.3.3 PCS_T3:POROSITY 28
4.4 Sampled CDF Comparisons to Input Distributions 30

5.0 SUMMARY 128

6.0 REFERENCES 130

APPENDIX A. COMPARISON OF SAMPLED DATA TO EXPECTED RANGES 133

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 – Parameters Sampled by LHS for the RPPCR 9
Table 2 – Comparison of MgO Hydration Rate Distributions 13
Table 3 – Significant Correlations Between Parameters 24
Table 4 – Correlations Between Parameters With Conditional Relationships 25
Table 5 – Comparison of Sampled Values with Prescribed Distributions 134

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 – Comparison of STEEL:HUMCORR and STEEL:CORRMCO2 Distributions for the RPPCR. 14
Figure 2 – Difference Between a Normal and Student-t Distribution (d.f. = 10; from Kirchner (2013)) 15
Figure 5 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SOLMOD3:SOLVAR, All Replicates for CRA19 and RPPCR Analyses 19
Figure 6 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SOLMOD4:SOLVAR, All Replicates for CRA19 and RPPCR Analyses 20
Figure 3 – Observed and Expected CDFs for BH_SAND:PRMX_LOG, All Replicates for CRA-2019 PA and RPPCR Analyses 21
Figure 3 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:PBRINE, All Replicates for CRA-2019 PA and RPPCR Analyses 22
Figure 4 – Observed and Expected CDFs for STEEL:CORRMCO2, All Replicates for CRA-2019 PA and RPPCR Analyses 23
Figure 7 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:GRATMICH Including Data Prior to Conditioning (NC) 26
Figure 8 – Correlation Between WAS_AREA:GRATMICI and WAS_AREA:GRATMICH, All Replicates 26

Information Only

Figure 9 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T2:POROSITY Including Data Prior to Conditioning (NC) 27
Figure 10 – Correlation Between PCS_T1:POROSITY and PCS_T2:POROSITY, All Replicates 28
Figure 11 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T3:POROSITY Including Data Prior to Conditioning (NC) 29
Figure 12 – Correlation Between PCS_T2:POROSITY and PCS_T3:POROSITY, All Replicates 29
Figure 13 – Observed and Expected CDFs for AM+3:MKD_AM (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 30
Figure 14 – Observed and Expected CDFs for BH_SAND:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 31
Figure 15 – Observed and Expected CDFs for BOREHOLE:DOMEGA (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1. 31
Figure 16 – Observed and Expected CDFs for BOREHOLE:TAUFAIL (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 32
Figure 17 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CASTILER:COMP_RCK (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 1. 32
Figure 18 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CASTILER:PRESSURE (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 1. 33
Figure 19 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CASTILER:PRMX_LOG (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 1. 33
Figure 20 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CONC_PLG:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 34
Figure 21 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:APOROS (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 34
Figure 22 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:DPOROS (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1. 35
Figure 23 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:HMBLKLT (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 35
Figure 24 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:MINP_FAC (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 36
Figure 25 – Observed and Expected CDFs for DRZ_1:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 36
Figure 26 – Observed and Expected CDFs for DRZ_PCS:PRMX_LOG (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 1. 37

Information Only

Figure 27 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:CLIMTIDX (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1. 37
Figure 28 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:GDEPFAC (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 38
Figure 29 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:OXSTAT (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 38
Figure 30 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:PBRINE (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1. 39
Figure 31 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:TRANSIDX (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 39
Figure 32 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:PORE_DIS (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1. 40
Figure 33 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:POROSITY (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 40
Figure 34 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 41
Figure 35 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:SAT_RBRN (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1. 41
Figure 36 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:SAT_RGAS (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 42
Figure 37 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T2:POR2PERM (Normal Distribution) Replicate 1. 42
Figure 38 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T2:POROSITY (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 43
Figure 39 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T3:POROSITY (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 43
Figure 40 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PU+3:MKD_PU (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 44
Figure 41 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PU+4:MKD_PU (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 44
Figure 42 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:COMP_RCK (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 45
Figure 43 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:POROSITY (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1. 45
Figure 44 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:PRESSURE (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 46

Information Only

Figure 45 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 46
Figure 46 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:PORE_DIS (Student Distribution) Replicate 1. 47
Figure 47 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:PRMX_LOG (Student Distribution) Replicate 1. 47
Figure 48 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:RELP_MOD (Delta Distribution) Replicate 1. 48
Figure 49 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:SAT_RBRN (Student Distribution) Replicate 1. 48
Figure 50 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTL_T1:PRMX_LOG (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1. 49
Figure 51 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTL_T2:PRMX_LOG (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1. 49
Figure 52 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTU:PRMX_LOG (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1. 50
Figure 53 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTU:SAT_RBRN (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1. 50
Figure 54 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTU:SAT_RGAS (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 51
Figure 55 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SOLMOD3:SOLVAR (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1. 51
Figure 56 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SOLMOD4:SOLVAR (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1. 52
Figure 57 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:PARTDIAM (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 52
Figure 58 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:REPIPERM (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 53
Figure 59 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:REPIPOR (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 53
Figure 60 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:TENSLSTR (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 54
Figure 61 – Observed and Expected CDFs for STEEL:CORRMCO2 (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1. 54
Figure 62 – Observed and Expected CDFs for STEEL:HUMCORR (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1. 55

Information Only

Figure 63 – Observed and Expected CDFs for TH+4:MKD_TH (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 55
Figure 64 – Observed and Expected CDFs for U+4:MKD_U (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 56
Figure 65 – Observed and Expected CDFs for U+6:MKD_U (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 56
Figure 66 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BIOGENFC (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 57
Figure 67 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BRUCITEC (Normal Distribution) Replicate 1. 57
Figure 68 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BRUCITEH (Normal Distribution) Replicate 1. 58
Figure 69 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BRUCITES (Normal Distribution) Replicate 1. 58
Figure 70 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:GRATMICH (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 59
Figure 71 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:GRATMICI (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 59
Figure 72 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:HYMAGCON (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 60
Figure 73 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:PROBDEG (Delta Distribution) Replicate 1. 60
Figure 74 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:SAT_RBRN (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 61
Figure 75 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:SAT_RGAS (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 61
Figure 76 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:SAT_WICK (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1. 62
Figure 77 – Observed and Expected CDFs for AM+3:MKD_AM (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 62
Figure 78 – Observed and Expected CDFs for BH_SAND:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 63
Figure 79 – Observed and Expected CDFs for BOREHOLE:DOMEGA (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2. 63
Figure 80 – Observed and Expected CDFs for BOREHOLE:TAUFAIL (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 64

Information Only

Figure 81 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CASTILER:COMP_RCK (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 2. 64
Figure 82 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CASTILER:PRESSURE (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 2. 65
Figure 83 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CASTILER:PRMX_LOG (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 2. 65
Figure 84 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CONC_PLG:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 66
Figure 85 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:APOROS (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 66
Figure 86 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:DPOROS (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2. 67
Figure 87 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:HMBLKLT (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 67
Figure 88 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:MINP_FAC (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 68
Figure 89 – Observed and Expected CDFs for DRZ_1:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 68
Figure 90 – Observed and Expected CDFs for DRZ_PCS:PRMX_LOG (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 2. 69
Figure 91 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:CLIMTIDX (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2. 69
Figure 92 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:GDEPFAC (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 70
Figure 93 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:OXSTAT (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 70
Figure 94 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:PBRINE (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2. 71
Figure 95 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:TRANSIDX (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 71
Figure 96 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:PORE_DIS (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2. 72
Figure 97 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:POROSITY (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 72
Figure 98 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 73

Information Only

Figure 99 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:SAT_RBRN (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2. 73
Figure 100 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:SAT_RGAS (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 74
Figure 101 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T2:POR2PERM (Normal Distribution) Replicate 2. 74
Figure 102 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T2:POROSITY (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 75
Figure 103 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T3:POROSITY (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 75
Figure 104 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PU+3:MKD_PU (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 76
Figure 105 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PU+4:MKD_PU (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 76
Figure 106 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:COMP_RCK (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 77
Figure 107 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:POROSITY (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2. 77
Figure 108 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:PRESSURE (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 78
Figure 109 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 78
Figure 110 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:PORE_DIS (Student Distribution) Replicate 2. 79
Figure 111 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:PRMX_LOG (Student Distribution) Replicate 2. 79
Figure 112 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:RELP_MOD (Delta Distribution) Replicate 2. 80
Figure 113 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:SAT_RBRN (Student Distribution) Replicate 2. 80
Figure 114 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTL_T1:PRMX_LOG (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2. 81
Figure 115 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTL_T2:PRMX_LOG (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2. 81
Figure 116 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTU:PRMX_LOG (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2. 82

Information Only

Figure 117 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTU:SAT_RBRN (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2. 82
Figure 118 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTU:SAT_RGAS (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 83
Figure 119 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SOLMOD3:SOLVAR (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2. 83
Figure 120 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SOLMOD4:SOLVAR (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2. 84
Figure 121 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:PARTDIAM (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 84
Figure 122 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:REPIPERM (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 85
Figure 123 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:REPIPOR (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 85
Figure 124 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:TENSLSTR (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 86
Figure 125 – Observed and Expected CDFs for STEEL:CORRMCO2 (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2. 86
Figure 126 – Observed and Expected CDFs for STEEL:HUMCORR (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2. 87
Figure 127 – Observed and Expected CDFs for TH+4:MKD_TH (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 87
Figure 128 – Observed and Expected CDFs for U+4:MKD_U (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 88
Figure 129 – Observed and Expected CDFs for U+6:MKD_U (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 88
Figure 130 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BIOGENFC (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 89
Figure 131 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BRUCITEC (Normal Distribution) Replicate 2. 89
Figure 132 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BRUCITEH (Normal Distribution) Replicate 2. 90
Figure 133 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BRUCITES (Normal Distribution) Replicate 2. 90
Figure 134 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:GRATMICH (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 91

Information Only

Figure 135 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:GRATMICI (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 91
Figure 136 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:HYMAGCON (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 92
Figure 137 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:PROBDEG (Delta Distribution) Replicate 2. 92
Figure 138 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:SAT_RBRN (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 93
Figure 139 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:SAT_RGAS (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 93
Figure 140 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:SAT_WICK (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2. 94
Figure 141 – Observed and Expected CDFs for AM+3:MKD_AM (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 94
Figure 142 – Observed and Expected CDFs for BH_SAND:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 95
Figure 143 – Observed and Expected CDFs for BOREHOLE:DOMEGA (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3. 95
Figure 144 – Observed and Expected CDFs for BOREHOLE:TAUFAIL (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 96
Figure 145 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CASTILER:COMP_RCK (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 3. 96
Figure 146 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CASTILER:PRESSURE (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 3. 97
Figure 147 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CASTILER:PRMX_LOG (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 3. 97
Figure 148 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CONC_PLG:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 98
Figure 149 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:APOROS (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 98
Figure 150 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:DPOROS (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3. 99
Figure 151 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:HMBLKLT (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 99
Figure 152 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:MINP_FAC (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 100

Information Only

Figure 153 – Observed and Expected CDFs for DRZ_1:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 100
Figure 154 – Observed and Expected CDFs for DRZ_PCS:PRMX_LOG (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 3. 101
Figure 155 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:CLIMTIDX (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3. 101
Figure 156 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:GDEPFAC (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 102
Figure 157 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:OXSTAT (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 102
Figure 158 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:PBRINE (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3. 103
Figure 159 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:TRANSIDX (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 103
Figure 160 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:PORE_DIS (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3. 104
Figure 161 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:POROSITY (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 104
Figure 162 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 105
Figure 163 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:SAT_RBRN (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3. 105
Figure 164 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:SAT_RGAS (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 106
Figure 165 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T2:POR2PERM (Normal Distribution) Replicate 3. 106
Figure 166 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T2:POROSITY (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 107
Figure 167 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T3:POROSITY (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 107
Figure 168 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PU+3:MKD_PU (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 108
Figure 169 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PU+4:MKD_PU (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 108
Figure 170 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:COMP_RCK (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 109

Information Only

Figure 171 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:POROSITY (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3. 109
Figure 172 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:PRESSURE (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 110
Figure 173 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 110
Figure 174 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:PORE_DIS (Student Distribution) Replicate 3. 111
Figure 175 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:PRMX_LOG (Student Distribution) Replicate 3. 111
Figure 176 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:RELP_MOD (Delta Distribution) Replicate 3. 112
Figure 177 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:SAT_RBRN (Student Distribution) Replicate 3. 112
Figure 178 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTL_T1:PRMX_LOG (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3. 113
Figure 179 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTL_T2:PRMX_LOG (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3. 113
Figure 180 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTU:PRMX_LOG (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3. 114
Figure 181 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTU:SAT_RBRN (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3. 114
Figure 182 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTU:SAT_RGAS (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 115
Figure 183 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SOLMOD3:SOLVAR (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3. 115
Figure 184 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SOLMOD4:SOLVAR (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3. 116
Figure 185 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:PARTDIAM (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 116
Figure 186 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:REPIPERM (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 117
Figure 187 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:REPIPOR (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 117
Figure 188 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:TENSLSTR (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 118

Information Only

Figure 189 – Observed and Expected CDFs for STEEL:CORRMCO2 (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3. 118
Figure 190 – Observed and Expected CDFs for STEEL:HUMCORR (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3. 119
Figure 191 – Observed and Expected CDFs for TH+4:MKD_TH (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 119
Figure 192 – Observed and Expected CDFs for U+4:MKD_U (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 120
Figure 193 – Observed and Expected CDFs for U+6:MKD_U (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 120
Figure 194 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BIOGENFC (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 121
Figure 195 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BRUCITEC (Normal Distribution) Replicate 3. 121
Figure 196 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BRUCITEH (Normal Distribution) Replicate 3. 122
Figure 197 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BRUCITES (Normal Distribution) Replicate 3. 122
Figure 198 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:GRATMICH (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 123
Figure 199 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:GRATMICI (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 123
Figure 200 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:HYMAGCON (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 124
Figure 201 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:PROBDEG (Delta Distribution) Replicate 3. 124
Figure 202 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:SAT_RBRN (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 125
Figure 203 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:SAT_RGAS (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 125
Figure 204 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:SAT_WICK (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3. 126

Information Only

Executive Summary

As currently permitted, the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) includes ten excavated panels for the placement of waste. For operational reasons, not all of the volume of the ten excavated panels will be used for waste disposal. The DOE plans to submit a Planned Change Request (PCR) to excavate two panels, termed replacement panels, to provide an equivalent disposal capability, and may consider excavating up to seven panels beyond the two replacement panels, defined here as additional panels. The Replacement Panels Planned Change Request Performance Assessment (RPPCR PA) has been executed by Sandia National Laboratories (SNL) to quantify the long-term performance of the repository with both replacement and additional panels.

Results found in the RPPCR are compared to those obtained in the 2019 Compliance Recertification Application (CRA-2019) (Zeitler et al. 2019) in order to assess repository performance in terms of the most recent regulatory submittal.

This analysis report documents the parameter sampling component of the RPPCR. Changes incorporated into this analysis include inventory updates, changes related to the replacement and additional panels, routine updates due to new information and model revisions since the CRA-2019 PA, and updates to the PA codes and computing platform.

Latin hypercube sampling (LHS) design was used to generate 100 vectors of sampled parameter values for each of the three replicates for the RPPCR. Sixty-four parameters were sampled for the RPPCR, including updated distributions for four parameters and an updated sampling for an additional parameter for which the distribution did not change. All 300 vectors of sampled parameters were written to the WIPP PA Results Database (PA_Results) for use by other WIPP PA codes.

Due to the migration from the Solaris cluster to the Linux cluster, a change has been made to read in a list of random numbers (previously generated on the Solaris cluster) rather than use a random number seed. As a result, the random numbers used in the RPPCR were identical to those used in the CRA-2019 PA and identical parameter values were generated for the 59 parameters that had no change. This allows a direct comparison of the RPPCR to previous analyses.

The resulting sampled data had the expected correlation structure and the values fell within the expected ranges. The distributions of sampled values matched the expected cumulative distribution functions (CDFs).

Information Only

This page intentionally left blank.

Information Only

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP), located in southeastern New Mexico, has been developed by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) for the geologic (deep underground) disposal of transuranic (TRU) waste. Containment of TRU waste at the WIPP is regulated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) according to the regulations set forth in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 191.

The DOE demonstrates compliance with the containment requirements according to the Certification Criteria in Title 40 CFR Part 194 by means of performance assessment (PA) calculations performed by Sandia National Laboratories (SNL). WIPP PA calculations estimate the probability and consequence of potential radionuclide releases from the repository to the accessible environment for a regulatory period of 10,000 years after facility closure. The models used in PA are maintained and updated with new information as part of an ongoing process. Improved information regarding important WIPP features, events, and processes typically results in refinements and modifications to PA models and the parameters used in them. Planned changes to the repository and/or the components therein also result in updates to WIPP PA models. WIPP PA models are used to support the repository recertification process that occurs at five-year intervals following the receipt of the first waste shipment at the site in 1999.

PA calculations were included in the 1996 Compliance Certification Application (CCA) (U.S. DOE 1996), and in a subsequent Performance Assessment Verification Test (PAVT) (MacKinnon and Freeze 1997a, 1997b and 1997c). Based in part on the CCA and PAVT PA calculations, the EPA certified that the WIPP met the regulatory containment criteria. The facility was approved for disposal of transuranic waste in May 1998 (U.S. EPA 1998). Subsequent CRA PA submittals including the CRA-2004, CRA-2009, CRA-2014, and CRA-2019 have included PA calculations. EPA requested additional PA calculations, termed Performance Assessment Baseline Calculations (PABC) for the CRA-2004 and CRA-2009 submittals before recertification. The EPA conducted a separate analysis, termed CRA19-COMB, based on the CRA-2019 PA with several different assumptions and models (Section 4.6, U.S. EPA 2022).

As currently permitted, the WIPP includes ten excavated panels for the placement of waste. For operational reasons, not all of the volume of the ten excavated panels will be used for waste disposal. The DOE plans to submit a Planned Change Request (PCR) to excavate two panels, termed replacement panels, to provide an equivalent disposal capability, and may consider excavating up to seven panels beyond the two replacement panels, defined here as additional panels. SNL executed the Replacement Panels Planned Change Request (RPPCR) PA to quantify the long-term performance of the repository with the replacement and additional panels in support of the DOE's submittal of the PCR. The PA executed in support of the RPPCR is detailed in AP-204 (Hansen et al. 2023).

Results found in the RPPCR are compared to those obtained in the 2019 Compliance Recertification Application (CRA-2019) (Zeitler et al. 2019) in order to assess repository performance in terms of the most recent regulatory submittal.

Changes incorporated into this analysis include inventory updates, changes related to the replacement and additional panels, routine updates due to new information and model revisions

Information Only

since the CRA-2019 PA, and updates to the PA codes and computing platform. This analysis report documents the parameter sampling component of the RPPCR PA.

1.1 Changes Since the CRA-2019

Several changes are incorporated in the RPPCR relative to the CRA-2019 that potentially impact parameter sampling results. The changes are[1]:

- Updates to the actinide baseline solubilities and the solubility uncertainty distributions.
- Updated permeability of a borehole after plug failure and degradation of borehole materials.
- Updated brine intrusion probability.
- Hardware and computational code updates.

Changes listed above are discussed in more detail in the sections that follow.

1.1.1 Updated Actinide Solubility Uncertainty Multipliers (SOLMOD3:SOLVAR and SOLMOD4:SOLVAR)

The RPPCR calculations include updates to the parameter distributions assigned to the actinide uncertainty multiplier parameters for +III (SOLMOD3:SOLVAR) and +IV (SOLMOD4:SOLVAR) actinides. (Domski 2023). The cumulative distributions for these parameters used in CRA-2019 PA calculations have been replaced by cumulative distributions derived by Domski (2023). These solubility uncertainty parameters were used by the BRAGFLO and PANEL codes. The cumulative distribution for the SOLMOD3:SOLVAR parameter has a range of [-2.76, 0.578] (unitless) and the cumulative distribution for the SOLMOD4:SOLVAR parameter has a range of [-2.01, 0.529] (unitless).

1.1.2 Updated Permeability of a Borehole After Plug Failure and Degradation of Borehole Materials (BH_SAND:PRMX_LOG)

The RPPCR calculations include an updated upper bound to the parameter distribution assigned to the permeability of the material filling a borehole from 200 yr after the drilling event (BH_SAND:PRMX_LOG) (Gjerapic et al. 2023, Hansen 2023). As in CRA-2019 PA calculations, the sampled value for the "x-direction" (PRMX_LOG) is also applied to permeabilities in the y- and z-directions (PRMY_LOG and PRMZ_LOG). These permeability parameters were used by the BRAGFLO code. The upper bound of the distribution for the BH_SAND:PRMX_LOG parameter has changed from -11 to -14 (log m^2).

[1] Note that Section 2.3 of AP-204 (Hansen et al. 2023) mentions that only "three parameter distributions will be changed for the RPPCR." This statement is inconsistent with the rest of the document, which outlines changes to the correct number of four parameter distributions.

Information Only

1.1.3 Updated Brine Intrusion Probability (GLOBAL:PBRINE)

The PBRINE parameter distribution was slightly adjusted due to the correction of the error found in the PRELHS code (see next section). The cumulative distribution for the GLOBAL:PBRINE parameter now has a range that is more representative of the distribution on which it was based (U.S. EPA 2017). An impact analysis for the fixing of the PRELHS error is found in Docherty (2023), which found that the correctly sampled parameter values were virtually identical to the CRA-2019 values.

1.1.4 Updated Sampling of Inundated Steel Corrosion Rate (STEEL:CORRMCO2)

The STEEL:CORRMCO2 parameter distribution was not changed for RPPCR. However, the sampled values did change slightly from CRA-2019 due to the fixing of an error in the PRELHS code (see Section 1.1.5.1) (SNL 2022). An impact analysis for the fixing of the PRELHS error is found in Docherty (2023), which found that the errors in the LHS samples were negligible.

1.1.5 LHS Code Updates

Calculations for the CRA-2019 PA were performed on the WIPP PA Solaris Cluster (Kirchner 2012, Kirchner et al. 2014, Kirchner et al. 2015). WIPP PA codes were later migrated to the WIPP PA Linux Cluster prior to RPPCR calculations (Long and King 2022). The migration process consisted of recompilation, retesting, and requalification of codes. An additional code change has been made for the PRELHS code since the migration to the Linux system, as described below.

1.1.5.1 PRELHS Code

The PRELHS code v2.45 was used in CRA-2019 PA calculations. Code v2.45 was created as part of the migration to the Linux cluster. The PRELHS code was later updated to v2.46 (WIPP PA 2022) after being revised to correct two errors (SNL 2022) and v2.46 was used in RPPCR calculations. The first error was in regards to how user-supplied cumulative probability distributions are sampled when the lowest probability is not zero (this impacted SOLMOD3:SOLVAR, SOLMOD4:SOLVAR, and GLOBAL:PBRINE, although the SOLVAR parameters have entirely new distributions for the RPPCR). The second error was in regards to how user-supplied cumulative distributions are sampled if parameter values are repeated (this impacted STEEL:CORRMCO2).

1.1.5.2 LHS Code

For the CRA-2019 PA, LHS code v.2.44 was used. Code v.3.00 (WIPP PA 2021a) was created as part of the migration to the Linux cluster. With the migration of LHS to the GNU Fortran compiler on the CentOS platform (Long and King 2022), the seeded random number generator used by previous versions of LHS is no longer able to produce the same random samples. In order to maintain the same sampling for comparison between analyses, LHS 3.00 has the ability to read in a list of random numbers instead of using a seeded random number generator. For the RPPCR,

Information Only

LHS read a list of random numbers containing the same values used in the CRA-2019 PA. Keeping the same random numbers enables comparison of the RPPCR to these previous analyses.

1.1.5.3 POSTLHS Code

The POSTLHS code is not a necessary part of the parameter sampling process, because the LHS code does the sampling and populates PA_Results. However, given its relationship to the LHS code (i.e., that it queries the database for sampled parameter values) and its use in the workflow of various other codes, changes to the POSTLHS code are described here. For the CRA-2019 PA, POSTLHS code v.4.11 was used. Code v.4.12 (WIPP PA 2021b) was created as part of the migration to the Linux cluster and was used in RPPCR calculations.

Information Only

This page intentionally left blank.

Information Only

2.0 CONCEPTUAL APPROACH FOR THE RPPCR

No changes have been made to the conceptualization of parameter sampling since the CRA-2019 PA. Under the standard approach, a determination is first made as to which parameters are epistemically uncertain and parameter distributions are defined for those parameters and entered into the WIPP PA Parameter Database (ParamDB) (Kim and Feng 2023). Uncertain parameters are sampled from these defined distributions using an LHSdesign. Care is taken to ensure that distributions that have not changed since the CRA-2019 PA are sampled identically as was done for CRA-2019 PA calculations. Due to the migration from the Solaris cluster to the Linux cluster, a change has been made to read in a list of random numbers (previously generated on the Solaris cluster) rather than use a random number seed. As a result, the random numbers used in the RPPCR were identical to those used in the CRA-2019 PA and identical parameter values were generated for the 59 parameters that had no change. Three sets ("replicates") of 100 samples each are assembled for use in PA calculations performed by various PA codes. The LHS code is used to perform the sampling and populate database tables from which sampled values may be accessed.

Information Only

This page intentionally left blank.

Information Only

3.0 PARAMETER SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

The LHS code is used to sample the distributions of parameters having epistemic uncertainty using a Latin Hypercube sampling design (WIPP PA 2021a). Epistemic uncertainty represents lack of knowledge about parameters that are considered constants and hence represents a distribution of confidence rather than of variability. Sampled parameters are written to PA_Results for use by other WIPP PA codes.

However, the LHS code is also used to sample variables that represent model uncertainty rather than parameter uncertainty. In two cases (SOLMOD3:SOLVAR and SOLMOD4:SOLVAR), LHS samples distributions that represent prediction error due to imperfectly known thermodynamic data (logarithm of observed/predicted) in the aqueous speciation code EQ3/6 v.8a as the uncertainty on solubility projections (Domski 2023). These two parameters are sampled to account for uncertainty in the solubility of the +III and +IV actinides. The S_MB139:RELPMOD parameter is used to select one of two relative permeability models for use in anhydrite. The WAS_AREA:PROBDEG parameter is the probability of biodegradation of plastics and rubber and takes on only one of two values, either 1 for microbial degradation of cellulose only or 2 for microbial degradation of cellulose, plastic and rubber.

The PRELHS code is run prior to the LHS code and is used to obtain from ParamDB the data describing the distributions and to create an input file for the LHS code based on that data. PRELHS v2.46 was used in RPPCR calculations. A user-created input file for PRELHS specifies which parameters are to be sampled using their "material" and "property" identifiers. PRELHS performs limited error checking on the data extracted from the database.

The LHS code can reorder sampled data to induce or restrict correlations among the parameters based on specified correlation relationships. The LHS code generates a correlation matrix that can be used to help identify correlations among sampled parameters. Additionally, other conditional relationships may be user-specified. These conditional relationships restrict the value for one parameter to be less than or equal to the sampled value of another parameter. For RPPCR calculations, conditional relationships were enforced using the LHS code in the same way as in the CRA-2019 PA.

This report documents the use of PRELHS v.2.46 and LHS v.3.00 to provide three sets of sampled data for use in the RPPCR (Hansen et al. 2023). These three sets represent three replicates of one hundred samples for each of 75 variables. Sixty-four of these variables are associated with model parameters (Table 1). However, there are also 11 "placeholder" variables sampled. These placeholders are included to enable users to add additional parameters and run LHS while preserving the ability to regenerate the values previously sampled for the model parameters. The ability to regenerate values previously sampled (e.g., for the CRA-2019 PA calculations) was ensured by maintaining identical values of random numbers used between the Solaris cluster (used for CRA-2019) and the Linux cluster (used for RPPCR). With the migration to the Linux cluster, the same random seed generator used in CRA-2019 is no longer available. However, LHS v.3.00 has the ability to read in a list of random numbers instead of using a seeded random number generator. This approach, used for RPPCR, enables comparison of CRA-2019 and RPPCR results on a vector-by-vector basis.

Information Only

3.1 Parameters Sampled for the RPPCR

The 64 parameters listed in Table 1 are sampled for the RPPCR—these are the same 64 parameters sampled in the CRA-2019 PA.

Table 1 – Parameters Sampled by LHS for the RPPCR

Parameter	Description
AM+3:MKD_AM	Americium III, matrix partition coefficient for americium
BH_SAND:PRMX_LOG	Borehole filled with silty sand, log of intrinsic permeability, x-direction
BOREHOLE:DOMEGA	Borehole and fill, drill string angular velocity
BOREHOLE:TAUFAIL	Borehole and fill, effective shear strength for erosion
CASTILER:COMP_RCK	Castile brine reservoir, bulk compressibility
CASTILER:PRESSURE	Castile brine reservoir, brine far-field pore pressure
CASTILER:PRMX_LOG	Castile brine reservoir, log of intrinsic permeability, x-direction
CONC_PLG:PRMX_LOG	Concrete plug, surface and rustler, log of intrinsic permeability, x-direction
CULEBRA:APOROS	Culebra member of the rustler formation, Culebra advective porosity
CULEBRA:DPOROS	Culebra member of the rustler formation, diffusive porosity for Culebra dolomite
CULEBRA:HMBLKLT	Culebra member of the rustler formation, Culebra half matrix-block length
CULEBRA:MINP_FAC	Culebra member of the rustler formation, mining transmissivity multiplier
DRZ_1:PRMX_LOG	Disturbed rock zone during the time period that begins with facility closure (0 years) and ends when DRZ healing is complete, log of intrinsic permeability, x-direction
DRZ_PCS:PRMX_LOG	DRZ directly above the panel closure system, log of intrinsic permeability, x-direction
GLOBAL:CLIMTIDX	Information that applies globally, climate index
GLOBAL:GDEPFAC	Information that applies globally, energy deposition probability for wetted solid radionuclides
GLOBAL:OXSTAT	Information that applies globally, index for the oxidation state
GLOBAL:PBRINE	Information that applies globally, probability that drilling intrusion in excavated area encounters pressurized brine

Information Only

Parameter	Description
GLOBAL:TRANSIDX	Information that applies globally, index for selecting realizations of the transmissivity field
PCS_T1:PORE_DIS	Panel closure system for an initial time duration, Brooks-Corey pore distribution parameter
PCS_T1:POROSITY	Panel closure system for an initial time duration, effective porosity
PCS_T1:PRMX_LOG	Panel closure system for an initial time duration, log of intrinsic permeability, x-direction
PCS_T1:SAT_RBRN	Panel closure system for an initial time duration, residual brine saturation
PCS_T1:SAT_RGAS	Panel closure system for an initial time duration, residual gas saturation
PCS_T2:POR2PERM	Panel closure system for a secondary time duration, distribution used to calculate permeability from sampled porosity values
PCS_T2:POROSITY	Panel closure system for a secondary time duration, effective porosity
PCS_T3:POROSITY	Run-of-mine panel closure system, tertiary time period, effective porosity
PU+3:MKD_PU	Plutonium III, matrix partition coefficient for plutonium
PU+4:MKD_PU	Plutonium IV, matrix partition coefficient for plutonium
S_HALITE:COMP_RCK	Salado halite, intact, bulk compressibility
S_HALITE:POROSITY	Salado halite, intact, effective porosity
S_HALITE:PRESSURE	Salado halite, intact, brine far-field pore pressure
S_HALITE:PRMX_LOG	Salado halite, intact, log of intrinsic permeability, x-direction
S_MB139:PORE_DIS	Salado marker bed 139, intact and fractured, Brooks-Corey pore distribution parameter
S_MB139:PRMX_LOG	Salado marker bed 139, intact and fractured, log of intrinsic permeability, x-direction
S_MB139:RELP_MOD	Salado marker bed 139, intact and fractured, model number, relative permeability model
S_MB139:SAT_RBRN	Salado marker bed 139, intact and fractured, residual brine saturation
SHFTL_T1:PRMX_LOG	Lower portion of simplified shaft from 0 – 200 years, log of intrinsic permeability, x-direction

Information Only

Parameter	Description
SHFTL_T2:PRMX_LOG	Lower portion of simplified shaft from 200 – 10,000 years, log of intrinsic permeability, x-direction
SHFTU:PRMX_LOG	Upper portion of simplified shaft, log of intrinsic permeability, x-direction
SHFTU:SAT_RBRN	Upper portion of simplified shaft, residual brine saturation
SHFTU:SAT_RGAS	Upper portion of simplified shaft, residual gas saturation
SOLMOD3:SOLVAR	Oxidation state III model, solubility multiplier
SOLMOD4:SOLVAR	Oxidation state IV model, solubility multiplier
SPALLMOD:PARTDIAM	Material developed for DRSPALL, particle diameter of disaggregated waste
SPALLMOD:REPIPERM	Material developed for DRSPALL, waste permeability to gas local to intrusion borehole
SPALLMOD:REPIPOR	Material developed for DRSPALL, waste porosity at time of drilling intrusion
SPALLMOD:TENSLSTR	Material developed for DRSPALL, tensile strength of waste
STEEL:CORRMCO2	Generic steel in waste, inundated corrosion rate for steel without CO2 present
STEEL:HUMCORR	Generic steel in waste, humid corrosion rate for steel
TH+4:MKD_TH	Thorium IV, matrix partition coefficient for thorium
U+4:MKD_U	Uranium IV, matrix partition coefficient for uranium
U+6:MKD_U	Uranium VI, matrix partition coefficient for uranium
WAS_AREA:BIOGENFC	Waste emplacement area and waste, probability of attaining sampled microbial-gas-generation rates
WAS_AREA:BRUCITEC	Waste emplacement area and waste, MgO inundated hydration rate in ERDA-6 brine
WAS_AREA:BRUCITEH	Waste emplacement area and waste, MgO humid hydration rate
WAS_AREA:BRUCITES	Waste emplacement area and waste, MgO inundated hydration rate in GWB brine
WAS_AREA:GRATMICH	Waste emplacement area and waste, humid biodegradation rate for cellulose
WAS_AREA:GRATMICI	Waste emplacement area and waste, inundated biodegradation rate for cellulose

Information Only

Parameter	Description
WAS_AREA:HYMAGCON	Waste emplacement area and waste, rate of conversion of hydromagnesite to magnesite
WAS_AREA:PROBDEG	Waste emplacement area and waste, probability of plastics and rubber biodegradation in event of microbial gas generation
WAS_AREA:SAT_RBRN	Waste emplacement area and waste, residual brine saturation
WAS_AREA:SAT_RGAS	Waste emplacement area and waste, residual gas saturation
WAS_AREA:SAT_WICK	Waste emplacement area and waste, index for computing wicking

3.2 Correlation Matrix Assignments

As was done for the CRA-2019 PA, correlations between variables are assigned in two cases. First a correlation of -0.99 is assigned to the correlation between the S_HALITE:PRMX_LOG and S_HALITE:COMP_RCK parameters. Also, a correlation of -0.75 is assigned to the correlation between the CASTILER:PRMX_LOG and CASTILER:COMP_RCK parameters. Rank correlations are calculated for each possible pair of variables and are written to the WIPP PA Results Database (PA_Results); significant correlations are reported in Section 4.2.

3.3 Conditional Relationship Assignments

The LHS code is used to enforce a conditional relationship between three pairs of variables. The relationships are WAS_AREA:GRATMICH ≤ WAS_AREA:GRATMICI (Clayton 2008, Nemer and Stein 2005) and PCS_T3: POROSITY ≤ PCS_T2: POROSITY ≤ PCS_T1:POROSITY (Camphouse 2013).

For each pair of variables, the sampled value of the "restricted" variable (i.e., the parameter to the left of the ≤ symbol) is rescaled to the new, conditioned value using the equation:

$$v_i' = \frac{v_i - U_{V,lower}}{U_{V,upper} - U_{V,lower}} \times \left(Min(x_i, U_{V,upper}) - U_{V,lower} \right) + U_{V,lower} \quad (1)$$

Where v'_i is the conditioned value of restricted parameter, v_i is the sampled value of the restricted variable, x_i is the sampled value of the "controlling" variable (i.e., the parameter to the right of the ≤ symbol), and $U_{V,lower}$ and $U_{V,upper}$ are the bounds of the distribution assigned to the restricted variable (WIPP PA 2021c). Note that this equation is only applied if the sampled value of the restricted variable is greater than the sampled value of the controlling variable.

The nature of these correlations is fundamentally different than that which LHS could induce between the variables. LHS achieves correlations between variables by reordering the sampled data whereas the conditional relationship changes the range of the restricted variable. If instead of limiting the value of the restricted variable, an equivalent correlation had been specified between the variables in the input file to LHS, then LHS would have generated values for the restricted

Information Only

variable with a range that would still have overlapped the range of the controlling variable. This would result in the possibility of a sampled restricted variable value exceeding the sampled controlling variable value, so it is not a viable option here.

3.3.1 MgO Hydration Rates

Humid MgO hydration rates are given by the WAS_AREA:BRUCITEH parameter. Inundated hydration rates are defined by the WAS_AREA:BRUCITEC and WAS_AREA:BRUCITES parameters (BRUCITEC when Castile brine is assumed and BRUCITES when Salado brine is assumed). For a given BRAGFLO or PANEL calculation, only one of the WAS_AREA:BRUCITEC and WAS_AREA:BRUCITES parameters are used. Support for the conditional relationship between the humid and inundated rates for biodegradation of cellulose (i.e., that the humid rate should not be expected to exceed the inundated rate or WAS_AREA:GRATMICH ≤ WAS_AREA:GRATMICI (Clayton 2008, Nemer and Stein 2005)) could potentially be extended to the humid and inundated rates for hydration of MgO (i.e., WAS_AREA:BRUCITEH ≤ WAS_AREA:BRUCITEC and WAS_AREA:BRUCITEH ≤ WAS_AREA:BRUCITES). Because there is no overlap between the BRUCITEH parameter distribution and either of the BRUCITEC or BRUCITES parameter distributions, there is zero probability that a BRUCITEH sampled value could exceed a sampled BRUCITEC or BRUCITES value (Table 2). Therefore, no conditional relationship is enforced between humid and inundated MgO hydration rates.

Table 2 – Comparison of MgO Hydration Rate Distributions

Material:Property	Normal Distribution Limits (mol kg^{-1} sec^{-1})	
	Min	Max
WAS_AREA:BRUCITEH	1.81E-8	2.19E-8
WAS_AREA:BRUCITEC	4.76E-8	5.64E-8
WAS_AREA:BRUCITES	4.27E-8	6.13E-8

3.3.2 Steel Corrosion Rates

Humid steel corrosion rates are given by the STEEL:HUMCORR parameter. Inundated steel corrosion rates are defined by the STEEL:CORRMCO2 parameter. Support for the conditional relationship between the humid and inundated rates for biodegradation of cellulose (i.e., that the humid rate should not be expected to exceed the inundated rate or WAS_AREA:GRATMICH ≤ WAS_AREA:GRATMICI (Clayton 2008, Nemer and Stein 2005)) could potentially be extended to the humid and inundated rates for corrosion of steel (i.e., STEEL:HUMCORR ≤ STEEL:CORRMCO2). However, the algorithm used to control conditional relationships in the LHS code (Equation 1) is only applicable to uniform distributions of the restricted value and the distribution defined for the STEEL:HUMCORR distribution is a cumulative distribution. Therefore, a conditional relationship between STEEL:HUMCORR and STEEL:CORRMCO2 was not enforced in RPPCR calculations (the same as for CRA-2019 PA calculations).

Information Only

However, there is only a small overlap in the defined distributions for the two rates and thus only a small probability of the humid rate exceeding the inundated rate (Figure 1). As a result, the humid steel corrosion exceeds the inundated rate in only one case out of the 300 sampled pairs (Replicate 3, Vector 42)—in that case, the humid rate is 4.51E-16 m/s and the inundated rate is 1.39E-16 m/s. Because a conditional relationship was not enforced, the humid rate of steel corrosion for this sample is higher than it would have been had a conditional relationship been enforced.



Figure 1 – Comparison of STEEL:HUMCORR and STEEL:CORRMCO2 Distributions for the RPPCR.

3.4 Student vs. Normal Distribution for MgO Hydration Rates

The parameters WAS_AREA:BRUCITEC, WAS_AREA:BRUCITEH and WAS_AREA:BRUCITES, which represent MgO hydration rates, were once treated as constants but were assigned distributions for the CRA14 analysis (Kirchner 2013). As described by Clayton (2013) and Kirchner (2013), the distribution of uncertainty on these parameters should be a Student-t distribution because the parameters represent the slopes of lines from linear regressions. However, at that time, the Student distribution of LHS could not be parameterized using a mean, standard error, and degrees of freedom and so a normal distribution was substituted.

Information Only



Figure 2 – Difference Between a Normal and Student-t Distribution (d.f. = 10; from Kirchner (2013)).

Figure 2 represents the WAS_AREA:BRUCITES distribution which, having the fewest degrees of freedom of the three parameters, shows the greatest difference between the Student and normal distributions. As shown in Figure 2 and stated by Kirchner (2013), the differences between the normal and Student distributions are inconsequential. Kirchner (2013) also noted that the LHS code would be modified to accommodate a Student distribution defined by a mean, standard error, and degrees of freedom. As part of the code migration to the Solaris system, the capability to handle such a Student distribution was added to PRELHS v2.41 and LHS v2.43 (and carried forward to later versions of the codes). However, the MgO hydration rate parameters have not been redefined in the WIPP PA Parameter Database (ParamDB), so a normal distribution identical to the one used for the CRA-2019 was used for the RPPCR analysis.

3.5 Run Control

A full description of the run control for the RPPCR, including names and locations of input and output files, can be found in Long (2023).

3.5.1 PRELHS Input and Output Files

AP-204 specifies that three replicates be run for the RPPCR. The input files for PRELHS for the RPPCR are named lhs1_RPPCR_r1_con.inp, lhs1_RPPCR_r2_con.inp, and lhs1_RPPCR_r3_con.inp, respectively for replicates 1 to 3. Except for the title, internal comments, and random seed value, these three files are identical. However, note that for the RPPCR, the random seed values were not used directly. Instead, those random seed values were

Information Only

used on the Solaris cluster (Long and King 2022) to generate random numbers that were written to a file and then read in by the LHS code. This sampling design facilitates the comparison of results from one analysis to the next by ensuring that identically numbered vectors have, to the greatest extent possible, the same collection of parameter values.

The output "transfer" files for the RPPCR analysis are named lhs1_RPPCR_r1_con.trn, lhs1_RPPCR_r2_con.trn, and lhs1_RPPCR_r3_con.trn, respectively for replicates 1 to 3. The three transfer files are identical except for titles and the random seed values (again, the random seed values are not used directly by LHS). All input and output files were inspected to verify that the data used to construct the distributions were properly extracted from the WIPP PA Parameter Database (ParamDB).

3.5.2 LHS Output Files

The transfer files created by running the PRELHS code were used as inputs to the LHS calculations. The output files for LHS for the RPPCR analysis are named lhs2_RPPCR_r*x*_con.trn for *x*=1, 2, 3 (representing replicates 1-3). The sampled values written to the WIPP PA Results Database (PA_Results) by the LHS code were examined for errors. The range of each sampled variable was compared to the range specified as input for its distribution (Table 5, Appendix A). No values were found to exceed the specified ranges of the distributions although in a few cases, as was discussed in Kirchner (2013), the range of sampled values was found to cover less than 90% of the specified range (e.g. 77.4 % of the range was covered by the samples for the S_MB139:PRMX_LOG parameter in replicate 3 (Figure 180)). These low coverage cases are in part due to the shape of the distribution. For example, the tails of CDF curves from nonuniform distributions may be nearly horizontal, such that the width of 1 % and 99 % quantiles sampled by LHS are relatively wide. The width of the quantiles (each covering 1 % of the probability) is set by the number of LHS samples in the replicate (100).

Information Only

This page intentionally left blank.

Information Only

4.0 RESULTS

Results of parameter sampling are presented in this section for all 64 uncertain parameters. Four parameters have updated distributions since the CRA-2019 PA: SOLMOD3:SOLVAR, SOLMOD4:SOLVAR, BH_SAND:PRMX_LOG, and GLOBAL:PBRINE. Additionally, the STEEL:CORRMCO2 parameter has updated sampling.

In this section, sampled distributions are compared to the expected distributions. CDFs for the sampled data were constructed by ordering the data from smallest to largest value and assigning the probability $i/100\text{-}0.005$ to the ith ordered value (i.e., the midpoint of the interval containing the value based on order statistics) (note, i varies from 1-100 for each replicate). With the exception of the variables modified based on assigned conditional relationships (Section 4.3), the differences between the CDFs of the sampled values and the CDFs of the expected distributions are due to the differences between the estimated probability assigned to the values and the true probability associated with the data.

4.1 Parameters With Updated Sampled Values Since the CRA-2019 PA

Parameter distributions for four parameters have changed since the CRA-2014 PA. Parameter distributions from the RPPCR analysis are compared with those from the CRA-2019 PA for the four parameters below. Sampled parameter values for all parameters are tabulated in Kim and Feng (2023).

4.1.1 SOLMOD3:SOLVAR

The distribution for the SOLMOD3:SOLVAR parameter has been changed for RPPCR calculations (Section 1.1.1). The distribution was updated based on newly calculated solubility uncertainty multipliers (Domski 2023). Comparison of all sampled values for the RPPCR analysis against the expected distribution is shown in Figure 3, along with the same comparison for the CRA-2019 PA. Note that for the CRA-2019 PA, there was an error in the PRELHS code that resulted in sampling errors for the SOLMOD3:SOLVAR parameter (Docherty 2023). This error has been corrected in the latest version of the code (SNL 2022, WIPP PA 2022).

Information Only



Figure 3 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SOLMOD3:SOLVAR, All Replicates for CRA19 and RPPCR Analyses

4.1.2 SOLMOD4:SOLVAR

The distribution for the SOLMOD4:SOLVAR parameter has been changed for RPPCR calculations (Section 1.1.1). The distribution was updated based on newly calculated solubility uncertainty multipliers (Domski 2023). Comparison of all sampled values for the RPPCR analysis against the expected distribution is shown in Figure 4, along with the same comparison for the CRA-2019 PA. Note that for the CRA-2019 PA, there was an error in the PRELHS code that resulted in sampling errors for the SOLMOD3:SOLVAR parameter (Docherty 2023). This error has been corrected in the latest version of the code (SNL 2022, WIPP PA 2022).

Information Only



Figure 4 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SOLMOD4:SOLVAR, All Replicates for CRA19 and RPPCR Analyses

4.1.3 BH_SAND:PRMX_LOG

The distribution for the BH_SAND:PRMX_LOG parameter has been updated for RPPCR (Section 1.1.2). The upper bound of the distribution was lowered while the lower bound of the distribution stayed the same (Gjerapic et al. 2023). Comparison of all sampled values for the RPPCR analysis against the expected distribution is shown in Figure 5, along with the same comparison for the CRA-2019 PA.

Information Only



Figure 5 – Observed and Expected CDFs for BH_SAND:PRMX_LOG, All Replicates for CRA-2019 PA and RPPCR Analyses

4.1.4 GLOBAL:PBRINE

The distribution for the GLOBAL:PBRINE parameter has been updated for RPPCR due to fixing an error in the PRELHS code (Section 1.1.3). Comparison of all sampled values for the RPPCR analysis against the expected distribution is shown in Figure 6 along with the same comparison for the CRA-2019 PA. The differences between the two sampled distributions is minor; the range of differences between sampled values for CRA-2019 and RPPCR is -4.3E-4 to -1.3E-2 PBRINE units (unitless).

Information Only



Figure 6 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:PBRINE, All Replicates for CRA-2019 PA and RPPCR Analyses

4.1.5 STEEL:CORRMCO2

The distribution for the STEEL:CORRMCO2 parameter was not changed for RPPCR, but sampled values did change slightly due to fixing an error in the PRELHS code (Section 1.1.4). Comparison of all sampled values for the RPPCR analysis against the expected distribution is shown in Figure 7, along with the same comparison for the CRA-2019 PA. The differences between the two sampled distributions is minor; the range of differences between sampled values for CRA-2019 and RPPCR is -5.4E-16 to 1.5E-16 CORRMCO2 units (m/s).

Information Only



Figure 7 – Observed and Expected CDFs for STEEL:CORRMCO2, All Replicates for CRA-2019 PA and RPPCR Analyses

4.2 Calculated Correlations Between Variables

Rank correlations between pairs of sampled variables (i.e., the strength of a linear association between the sampled values) are written directly to the WIPP PA Results Database (PA_Results) and are also found in the LHS output file (e.g., lhs2_ RPPCR_r1_con.trn) and the correlations output file (e.g., lhs2_ RPPCR_r1_con.trn_CORR) for each replicate. The rank correlations in the WIPP PA Results Database (PA_Results) were examined and those that exceeded specified test statistics are noted in Table 3.[1]

The rankings of sampled data for those variables for which a correlation relationship was assigned showed correlations that were close to those specified. Positive, significant correlations were also observed between the WAS_AREA:GRATMICH and WAS_AREA:GRATMICI parameters in all replicates, which is a result of the assigned conditional relationship (Section 3.3). No significant (at the $\alpha = 0.01$ significance level; α is the probability of a Type I error, or the probability of rejecting a null hypothesis when it is in fact true) spurious correlations were observed among the uncorrelated variables, although in replicate 2 the correlation between the ranks of the variables CASTILER:PRESSURE and WAS_AREA:PROBDEG was -0.234 which is significant at the

[1] A test statistic of 0.195 was used to determine the $\alpha = 0.05$ level of significance and a test statistic of 0.254 was used for the $\alpha = 0.01$ level of significance. These are the same values used by Kirchner (2013) and are appropriate for a sample size of 100.

Information Only

$\alpha = 0.05$ level. However, the WAS_AREA:PROBDEG parameter is a discrete user-specified distribution (delta distribution) having only 2 possible values (Figure 74). This restriction limits the ability of LHS to rearrange the sampled values to enforce a correlation near zero. In addition, the significance test on the correlation coefficient is questionable in any case because the data fails to even come close to meeting the assumptions of normality of the data (Kirchner 2013).

To evaluate the frequency with which high correlation coefficients would be expected in such variables, a test was conducted by Kirchner (2009), the results of which continue to be valid as no new correlations have been specified for the RPPCR. In the test, 1000 LHS samples were generated and the correlation coefficients were tabulated. For this test, all correlations were specified to be zero. Out of the 1000 samples, "significant" correlations were generated in 502 cases at the $\alpha = 0.05$ level and 2 cases at the $\alpha = 0.01$ level. All of these correlations involved either the WAS_AREA:PROBDEG or S_MB139:RELP_MOD parameters, both of which have discrete distributions having only two possible values. These results suggest that the number of values exceeding the standard test statistics for correlation coefficients may be relatively high when LHS samples discrete distributions having few possible values.

Table 3 – Significant Correlations Between Parameters

Rep	Parameters in Comparison (Mat:Prop)		Exp. Corr.	Obs. Corr.	Signif[a]
1	S_HALITE:COMP_RCK	S_HALITE:PRMX_LOG	-0.99	-0.987	**
	WAS_AREA:GRATMICH	WAS_AREA:GRATMICI	0.00	0.735	**[b]
	CASTILER:COMP_RCK	CASTILER:PRMX_LOG	-0.75	-0.728	**
2	S_HALITE:COMP_RCK	S_HALITE:PRMX_LOG	-0.99	-0.991	**
	CASTILER:COMP_RCK	CASTILER:PRMX_LOG	-0.75	-0.724	**
	WAS_AREA:GRATMICH	WAS_AREA:GRATMICI	0.00	0.692	**[b]
	CASTILER:PRESSURE	WAS_AREA:PROBDEG	0.00	-0.234	*[c]
3	S_HALITE:COMP_RCK	S_HALITE:PRMX_LOG	-0.99	-0.983	**
	WAS_AREA:GRATMICH	WAS_AREA:GRATMICI	0.00	0.760	**[b]
	CASTILER:COMP_RCK	CASTILER:PRMX_LOG	-0.75	-0.725	**
	PCS_T3:POROSITY	PCS_T2:POROSITY	0.00	0.271	**[b]

[a]Significance level (see text): * = Significant at $p<0.05$, ** = Significant at $p<0.01$

[b]No correlation specified, but conditional relationship assigned (Section 3.3)

[c]This correlation is spurious.

Information Only

4.3 Parameters With Conditional Relationships

As was done for the CRA-2019 PA, conditional relationships were imposed for three variables in the RPPCR. The CDFs for the original sampled values and the conditioned values are shown in Figure 8, Figure 10, and Figure 12 for the WAS_AREA:GRATMICH, PCS_T2:POROSITY, and PCS_T3:POROSITY parameters, respectively. The correlations between variables involved in conditional relationships are shown in Table 4.

Table 4 – Correlations Between Parameters With Conditional Relationships

Rep	Parameters in Comparison (Mat:Prop)		Observed Correlation
1	WAS_AREA:GRATMICH	WAS_AREA:GRATMICI	0.734
	PCS_T2:POROSITY	PCS_T1:POROSITY	-0.051
	PCS_T3:POROSITY	PCS_T2:POROSITY	0.123
2	WAS_AREA:GRATMICH	WAS_AREA:GRATMICI	0.692
	PCS_T2:POROSITY	PCS_T1:POROSITY	-0.016
	PCS_T3:POROSITY	PCS_T2:POROSITY	0.183
3	WAS_AREA:GRATMICH	WAS_AREA:GRATMICI	0.760
	PCS_T2:POROSITY	PCS_T1:POROSITY	-0.014
	PCS_T3:POROSITY	PCS_T2:POROSITY	0.271

4.3.1 WAS_AREA:GRATMICH

As was done for the CRA-2019 PA, the conditional relationship WAS_AREA:GRATMICH ≤ WAS_AREA:GRATMICI has been imposed for the RPPCR, which ensures that the humid rate of cellulose degradation does not exceed the inundated rate by adjusting the sampled value of WAS_AREA:GRATMICH, if necessary. The values used in the RPPCR are input into the WIPP PA Results Database (PA_Results), while values sampled prior to conditioning are only available in the LHS output debug files (e.g., lhs2_RPPCR_r1_con.dbg for Replicate 1). A comparison of the values for WAS_AREA:GRATMICH prior to conditioning with those used in the RPPCR for all replicates is shown in Figure 8. All values are identical to those for the CRA-2019 PA (not shown). The correlation between the two variables as a result of the applied conditional relationship is shown in Figure 9. Relatively strong correlations of 0.734, 0.692, and 0.760 were calculated for replicates 1, 2, and 3.

Information Only



Figure 8 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:GRATMICH Including Data Prior to Conditioning (NC)



Figure 9 – Correlation Between WAS_AREA:GRATMICI and WAS_AREA:GRATMICH, All Replicates

Information Only

4.3.2 PCS_T2:POROSITY

As was done for the CRA-2019 PA, the conditional relationship PCS_T2:POROSITY ≤ PCS_T1:POROSITY has been imposed for the RPPCR, which ensures that the panel closure porosity decreases with time by adjusting the sampled value of PCS_T2:POROSITY, if necessary. The values used in the RPPCR are input into the WIPP PA Results Database (PA_Results), while values sampled prior to conditioning are only available in the LHS output debug files (e.g., lhs2_RPPCR_r1_con.dbg for Replicate 1). A comparison of the values for PCS_T2:POROSITY prior to conditioning with those used in the RPPCR for all replicates is shown in Figure 10. In only a few cases was the conditional relationship applied; therefore, the differences between values prior to and subsequent to conditioning are relatively rare and not easily discernable from the figure. All values are identical to those for the CRA-2019 PA. The correlation between the two variables as a result of the applied conditional relationship is shown in Figure 11. Relatively weak correlations of -0.051, -0.016, and -0.014 were calculated for replicates 1, 2, and 3.



Figure 10 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T2:POROSITY Including Data Prior to Conditioning (NC)

Information Only



Figure 11 – Correlation Between PCS_T1:POROSITY and PCS_T2:POROSITY, All Replicates

4.3.3 PCS_T3:POROSITY

As was done for the CRA-2019 PA, the conditional relationship PCS_T3:POROSITY ≤ PCS_T2:POROSITY has been imposed for the RPPCR, which ensures that the panel closure porosity decreases with time by adjusting the sampled value of PCS_T3:POROSITY, if necessary. The values used in the RPPCR are input into the WIPP PA Results Database (PA_Results), while values sampled prior to conditioning are only available in the LHS output debug files (e.g., lhs2_RPPCR_r1_con.dbg for Replicate 1). A comparison of the values for PCS_T3:POROSITY prior to conditioning with those used in the RPPCR for all replicates is shown in Figure 12. All values are identical to those for the CRA-2019 PA. The correlation between the two variables as a result of the applied conditional relationship is shown in Figure 13. Relatively weak correlations of 0.123, 0.183, and 0.271 were calculated for replicates 1, 2, and 3.

Information Only



Figure 12 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T3:POROSITY Including Data Prior to Conditioning (NC)



Figure 13 – Correlation Between PCS_T2:POROSITY and PCS_T3:POROSITY, All Replicates

Information Only

4.4 Sampled CDF Comparisons to Input Distributions

Comparisons between the sampled parameters and input distributions ("expected distributions") for all 64 sampled parameters are found in the CDFs plotted from Figure 14 through Figure 205. Figure 14 through Figure 77 show comparisons for Replicate 1, Figure 78 through Figure 141 show comparisons for Replicate 2, and Figure 142 through Figure 205 show comparisons for Replicate 3. Sampled parameter values for all parameters are tabulated in Kim and Feng (2023).



Figure 14 – Observed and Expected CDFs for AM+3:MKD_AM (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 15 – Observed and Expected CDFs for BH_SAND:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 16 – Observed and Expected CDFs for BOREHOLE:DOMEGA (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 17 – Observed and Expected CDFs for BOREHOLE:TAUFAIL (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 18 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CASTILER:COMP_RCK (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 19 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CASTILER:PRESSURE (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 20 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CASTILER:PRMX_LOG (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 21 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CONC_PLG:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 22 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:APOROS (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 23 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:DPOROS (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 24 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:HMBLKLT (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 25 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:MINP_FAC (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 26 – Observed and Expected CDFs for DRZ_1:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 27 – Observed and Expected CDFs for DRZ_PCS:PRMX_LOG (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 28 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:CLIMTIDX (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 29 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:GDEPFAC (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 30 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:OXSTAT (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 31 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:PBRINE (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 32 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:TRANSIDX (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 33 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:PORE_DIS (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 34 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:POROSITY (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 35 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 36 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:SAT_RBRN (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 37 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:SAT_RGAS (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 38 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T2:POR2PERM (Normal Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 39 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T2:POROSITY (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 40 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T3:POROSITY (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 41 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PU+3:MKD_PU (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 42 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PU+4:MKD_PU (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 43 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:COMP_RCK (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 44 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:POROSITY (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 45 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:PRESSURE (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 46 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 47 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:PORE_DIS (Student Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 48 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:PRMX_LOG (Student Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 49 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:RELP_MOD (Delta Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 50 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:SAT_RBRN (Student Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 51 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTL_T1:PRMX_LOG (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 52 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTL_T2:PRMX_LOG (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 53 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTU:PRMX_LOG (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 54 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTU:SAT_RBRN (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 55 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTU:SAT_RGAS (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 56 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SOLMOD3:SOLVAR (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 57 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SOLMOD4:SOLVAR (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 58 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:PARTDIAM (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 59 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:REPIPERM (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 60 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:REPIPOR (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 61 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:TENSLSTR (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 62 – Observed and Expected CDFs for STEEL:CORRMCO2 (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 63 – Observed and Expected CDFs for STEEL:HUMCORR (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 64 – Observed and Expected CDFs for TH+4:MKD_TH (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 65 – Observed and Expected CDFs for U+4:MKD_U (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 66 – Observed and Expected CDFs for U+6:MKD_U (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 67 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BIOGENFC (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 68 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BRUCITEC (Normal Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 69 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BRUCITEH (Normal Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 70 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BRUCITES (Normal Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 71 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:GRATMICH (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 72 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:GRATMICI (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 73 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:HYMAGCON (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 74 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:PROBDEG (Delta Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 75 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:SAT_RBRN (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 76 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:SAT_RGAS (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.

Information Only



Figure 77 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:SAT_WICK (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 1.



Figure 78 – Observed and Expected CDFs for AM+3:MKD_AM (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 79 – Observed and Expected CDFs for BH_SAND:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 80 – Observed and Expected CDFs for BOREHOLE:DOMEGA (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 81 – Observed and Expected CDFs for BOREHOLE:TAUFAIL (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 82 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CASTILER:COMP_RCK (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 83 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CASTILER:PRESSURE (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 84 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CASTILER:PRMX_LOG (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 85 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CONC_PLG:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 86 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:APOROS (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 87 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:DPOROS (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 88 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:HMBLKLT (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 89 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:MINP_FAC (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 90 – Observed and Expected CDFs for DRZ_1:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 91 – Observed and Expected CDFs for DRZ_PCS:PRMX_LOG (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 92 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:CLIMTIDX (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 93 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:GDEPFAC (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 94 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:OXSTAT (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 95 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:PBRINE (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 96 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:TRANSIDX (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 97 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:PORE_DIS (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 98 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:POROSITY (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 99 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 100 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:SAT_RBRN (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 101 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:SAT_RGAS (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 102 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T2:POR2PERM (Normal Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 103 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T2:POROSITY (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 104 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T3:POROSITY (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 105 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PU+3:MKD_PU (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 106 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PU+4:MKD_PU (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 107 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:COMP_RCK (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 108 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:POROSITY (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 109 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:PRESSURE (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 110 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 111 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:PORE_DIS (Student Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 112 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:PRMX_LOG (Student Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 113 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:RELP_MOD (Delta Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 114 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:SAT_RBRN (Student Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 115 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTL_T1:PRMX_LOG (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 116 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTL_T2:PRMX_LOG (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 117 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTU:PRMX_LOG (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 118 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTU:SAT_RBRN (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 119 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTU:SAT_RGAS (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 120 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SOLMOD3:SOLVAR (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 121 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SOLMOD4:SOLVAR (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 122 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:PARTDIAM (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 123 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:REPIPERM (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 124 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:REPIPOR (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 125 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:TENSLSTR (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 126 – Observed and Expected CDFs for STEEL:CORRMCO2 (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 127 – Observed and Expected CDFs for STEEL:HUMCORR (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 128 – Observed and Expected CDFs for TH+4:MKD_TH (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 129 – Observed and Expected CDFs for U+4:MKD_U (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 130 – Observed and Expected CDFs for U+6:MKD_U (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 131 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BIOGENFC (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 132 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BRUCITEC (Normal Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 133 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BRUCITEH (Normal Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 134 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BRUCITES (Normal Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 135 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:GRATMICH (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 136 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:GRATMICI (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 137 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:HYMAGCON (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 138 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:PROBDEG (Delta Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 139 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:SAT_RBRN (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 140 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:SAT_RGAS (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.

Information Only



Figure 141 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:SAT_WICK (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 2.



Figure 142 – Observed and Expected CDFs for AM+3:MKD_AM (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 143 – Observed and Expected CDFs for BH_SAND:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 144 – Observed and Expected CDFs for BOREHOLE:DOMEGA (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 145 – Observed and Expected CDFs for BOREHOLE:TAUFAIL (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 146 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CASTILER:COMP_RCK (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 147 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CASTILER:PRESSURE (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 148 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CASTILER:PRMX_LOG (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 149 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CONC_PLG:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 150 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:APOROS (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 151 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:DPOROS (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 152 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:HMBLKLT (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 153 – Observed and Expected CDFs for CULEBRA:MINP_FAC (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 154 – Observed and Expected CDFs for DRZ_1:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 155 – Observed and Expected CDFs for DRZ_PCS:PRMX_LOG (Triangular Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 156 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:CLIMTIDX (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 157 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:GDEPFAC (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 158 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:OXSTAT (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 159 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:PBRINE (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 160 – Observed and Expected CDFs for GLOBAL:TRANSIDX (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 161 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:PORE_DIS (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 162 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:POROSITY (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 163 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 164 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:SAT_RBRN (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 165 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T1:SAT_RGAS (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 166 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T2:POR2PERM (Normal Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 167 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T2:POROSITY (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 168 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PCS_T3:POROSITY (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 169 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PU+3:MKD_PU (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 170 – Observed and Expected CDFs for PU+4:MKD_PU (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 171 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:COMP_RCK (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 172 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:POROSITY (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 173 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:PRESSURE (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 174 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_HALITE:PRMX_LOG (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 175 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:PORE_DIS (Student Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 176 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:PRMX_LOG (Student Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 177 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:RELP_MOD (Delta Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 178 – Observed and Expected CDFs for S_MB139:SAT_RBRN (Student Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 179 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTL_T1:PRMX_LOG (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 180 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTL_T2:PRMX_LOG (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 181 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTU:PRMX_LOG (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 182 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTU:SAT_RBRN (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 183 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SHFTU:SAT_RGAS (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 184 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SOLMOD3:SOLVAR (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 185 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SOLMOD4:SOLVAR (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 186 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:PARTDIAM (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 187 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:REPIPERM (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 188 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:REPIPOR (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 189 – Observed and Expected CDFs for SPALLMOD:TENSLSTR (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 190 – Observed and Expected CDFs for STEEL:CORRMCO2 (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 191 – Observed and Expected CDFs for STEEL:HUMCORR (Cumulative Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 192 – Observed and Expected CDFs for TH+4:MKD_TH (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 193 – Observed and Expected CDFs for U+4:MKD_U (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 194 – Observed and Expected CDFs for U+6:MKD_U (Loguniform Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 195 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BIOGENFC (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 196 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BRUCITEC (Normal Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 197 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BRUCITEH (Normal Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 198 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:BRUCITES (Normal Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 199 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:GRATMICH (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 200 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:GRATMICI (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 201 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:HYMAGCON (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 202 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:PROBDEG (Delta Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 203 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:SAT_RBRN (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.



Figure 204 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:SAT_RGAS (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only



Figure 205 – Observed and Expected CDFs for WAS_AREA:SAT_WICK (Uniform Distribution) Replicate 3.

Information Only

This page intentionally left blank.

Information Only

5.0 SUMMARY

LHS was used to generate one hundred vectors of sampled parameter values for each of three replicates for the RPPCR. Due to the migration from the Solaris cluster to the Linux cluster, a change has been made to read in a list of random numbers (previously generated on the Solaris cluster) rather than use a random number seed. As a result, the random numbers used in the RPPCR were identical to those used in the CRA-2019 PA and identical parameter values were generated. This allows a direct comparison of the RPPCR to previous analyses.

Correlations were assigned to two pairs of variables (S_HALITE:PRMX_LOG and S_HALITE:COMP_RCK, as well as CASTILER:PRMX_LOG and CASTILER:COMP_RCK) and applied during the LHS sampling process. The LHS results were additionally influenced by an enforcement of a conditional relationship between three pairs of parameters: WAS_AREA:GRATMICH and WAS_AREA:GRATMICI, PCS_T3: POROSITY and PCS_T2: POROSITY, and PCS_T2: POROSITY and PCS_T1:POROSITY. Sixty-four parameters were sampled for the RPPCR, including updated distributions for four parameters and an updated sampling for an additional parameter for which the distribution did not change. All 300 sets of sampled parameters were written to the WIPP PA Results Database (PA_Results) for use by other WIPP PA codes.

The resulting sampled data had the expected correlation structure and the values fell within the expected ranges. The distributions of sampled values matched the expected cumulative distribution functions (CDFs).

Information Only

This page intentionally left blank.

Information Only

6.0 REFERENCES

Camphouse, R.C. 2013. Analysis Plan for the 2014 WIPP Compliance Recertification Application Performance Assessment. ERMS 559198. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

Clayton, D. J. 2008. Analysis Plan for the Performance Assessment for the 2009 Compliance Recertification Application. ERMS 547515. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

Clayton, D. 2013. Justification of Chemistry Parameters for Use in BRAGFLO for AP-164, Rev. 1. ERMS 559466. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

Docherty, P. 2023. Impact Analysis for the Software Problem Report SPR 22-001 for PRELHS Version 2.45. ERMS 578912. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

Domski, P.S. 2023. Uncertainty Analysis of Actinide Solubilities for the Replacement Panels Planned Change Request (RPPCR) Performance Assessment and the 2024 Compliance Recertification Application (CRA-2024). ERMS 579529. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

Gjerapic, G., Bean, J. L., Matteo, E. N. and Gross, M. B. 2023. Analyses to Bound the Permeability of Degraded Fill Materials in Intrusion Boreholes for WIPP Performance Assessment. Carlsbad, NM: Salado Isolation Mining Contractors, LLC. April 20, 2023. ERMS 579102.

Hansen, C. 2023. Upper Bound for Degraded Borehole Permeabilities. ERMS 579160. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

Hansen, C., S. King, J. Bethune, and S. Brunell. 2023. Analysis Plan for the Performance Assessment for Replacement Panels Planned Change Request. AP-204. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

Kim, S. and L. Feng. 2023. Input Parameter Report for the Replacement Panels Planned Change Request (RPPCR PA). ERMS 579730. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

Kirchner, T. 2009. Generation of the LHS Samples for the AP-137 Revision 0 (CRA09) PA Calculations. ERMS 547971. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

Kirchner, T. 2012. AP-162 Revision 0: Analysis Plan for the Migration of the Performance Assessment Codes to the Sun Solaris Blade Server Running with Intel Processors. ERMS 557765. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

Kirchner, T. 2013. Generation of the LHS Samples for the CRA-2014 (AP-164) PA Calculations. ERMS 559950. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

Kirchner, T., A. Gilkey, and J. Long. 2014. Summary Report on the Migration of the WIPP PA Codes from VMS to Solaris, AP-162 Revision 1. ERMS 561757. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

Kirchner, T., A. Gilkey, and J. Long. 2015. Addendum to the Summary Report on the Migration of the WIPP PA Codes from VMS to Solaris, AP-162. ERMS 564675. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

Information Only

Long, J. 2023. Computational Code Execution and File Management for the Replacement Panels Planned Change Request (RPPCR PA). ERMS 579728. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

Long, J., S. King. 2022. Summary Report on the Migration of the WIPP PA Codes from Solaris to Linux. ERMS 577606. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

MacKinnon, R.J., and G. Freeze. 1997a. Summary of EPA-Mandated Performance Assessment Verification Test (Replicate 1) and Comparison With the Compliance Certification Application Calculations, Revision 1. ERMS 422595. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

MacKinnon, R.J., and G. Freeze. 1997b. Summary of Uncertainty and Sensitivity Analysis Results for the EPA-Mandated Performance Assessment Verification Test, Rev. 1. ERMS 420669. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

MacKinnon, R.J., and G. Freeze. 1997c. Supplemental Summary of EPA-Mandated Performance Assessment Verification Test (All Replicates) and Comparison With the Compliance Certification Application Calculations, Revision 1. ERMS 414880. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

Nemer, M. B. and J. S. Stein. 2005. Analysis Package for BRAGFLO: 2004 Compliance Recertification Application Performance Assessment Baseline Calculation. Analysis Report, June 28, 2005. ERMS 540527. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

Sandia National Laboratories (SNL). 2022. Software Problem Report for PRELHS Version 2.45. ERMS 577795. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

U.S. Congress. 1992. WIPP Land Withdrawal Act, Public Law 102-579, 106 Stat. 4777, 1992; as amended by Public Law 104-201, 110 Stat. 2422, 1996.

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) 1996. Title 40 CFR Part 191 Compliance Certification Application for the Waste Isolation Pilot. U.S. Department of Energy Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, Carlsbad Area Office, Carlsbad, NM. DOE/CAO-1996-2184.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1998. 40 CFR 194, Criteria for the Certification and Recertification of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant's Compliance with the Disposal Regulations: Certification Decision: Final Rule, Federal Register. Vol. 63, 27354-27406.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2017. Probability of Encountering Castile Brine Beneath the WIPP Waste Panels Using the TDEM Block Method. June, 2017. Office of Radiation and Indoor Air, Docket EPA-HQ-OAR-2014-0609-0047.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2022. Overview of EPA Review of U.S. Department of Energy 2019 WIPP Compliance Recertification Application Performance Assessment. EPA-HQ-OAR-2019-0534. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Radiation, and Indoor Air.

WIPP PA. 2021a. Design Document and User's Manual for LHS (Version 3.00). ERMS 574194. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

WIPP PA. 2021b. Design Document and User's Manual for POSTLHS (Version 4.12). ERMS 573916. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

Information Only

WIPP PA. 2021c. Requirements Document, Verification and Validation Plan, and Validation Document for LHS (Version 3.00). ERMS 574193. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

WIPP PA. 2022. Design Document and User's Manual for PRELHS (Version 2.46). ERMS 577722. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

Zeitler, T.R., J. Bethune, S. Brunell, B. Day, D. Kicker, J. Long, and R. Sarathi. 2019. Summary Report for the 2019 Compliance Recertification Application Performance Assessment (CRA-2019 PA). ERMS 571376. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.

Information Only

Appendix A. Comparison of Sampled Data to Expected Ranges

Table 5 below compares the mean and range observed in the unconditioned sampled data to the mean and range specified in the WIPP PA Parameter Database (ParamDB). The table also shows the relative percent difference (RPD) in the means, as well as the percent of the range covered by the sampled values. The equation used to calculate RPD is:

$$RPD(\%) = abs\left(\frac{\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2}{\left(\overline{X}_1 + \overline{X}_2\right)/2}\right) \times 100 \tag{2}$$

where $\overline{X}_1$ is the observed mean and $\overline{X}_2$ is the expected mean.

In general, the sampled ranges matched the expected ranges within a few percent. Notable exceptions (i.e, when the observed range is less than 90 % of the expected range) occur in some cases for triangular, cumulative, Student, and, in one case, uniform distributions. The relatively skewed nature of some triangular and and cumulative distributions is responsible for the lower range coverages for those types of distributions. As observed by Kirchner (2013), the observed range of the sampled data for Student distributions is much smaller than the range specified in the parameter database because the minimum and maximum values in the database are the extremes of the data whereas the sampled values represent the uncertainty on the mean. The uncertainty on the mean is based on the standard error of the data and that range will always be smaller than the range of the data. Finally, the case for which a uniform distribution is observed to show a low range coverage is that for the WAS_AREA:GRATMICH parameter, for which the low coverage is due to the condititional relationship assigned to this parameter (Section 4.3.1), which artificially alters the observed range.

The calculated RPD in the means is relatively low, typically less than 1%. Notable exceptions (i.e., when RPD exceeds 4 %) are described below. The PCS_T2:POR2PERM parameter shows an RPD of 200% because the expected mean is 0 and the RPD formula becomes:

$$RPD(\%) = abs\left(\frac{\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2}{\left(\overline{X}_1 + \overline{X}_2\right)/2}\right) \times 100 = \frac{200\overline{X}_1}{\overline{X}_1} = 200\% \tag{3}$$

The S_MB139:RELP_MOD parameter has a large RPD because there are only two possible values, 1 and 4, so the observed mean lies between these two values whereas the mean from the database was assigned to 4 because previously the mean also represented the default value. The WAS_AREA:GRATMICH parameter shows a large RPD because its distribution was controlled after sampling to ensure the sampled value in each vector did not exceed the sampled value for WAS_AREA:GRATMICI, hence the observed mean is reduced compared to the expected mean. The PCS_T3:POROSITY parameter also shows elevated RPDs because it is controlled by PCS_T2:POROSITY (Section 4.3.3). The PCS_T2:POROSITY parameter was controlled by PCS_T1:POROSITY but the overlap was small and the control only modified one of 300 sampled values.

Information Only

Table 5 – Comparison of Sampled Values with Prescribed Distributions

Material	Property	Rep	Observed			Parameter Database			RPD of Means	% Range Covered	Dist
			Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Mean			
AM+3	MKD_AM	1	5.02E-03	3.97E-01	9.00E-02	5.00E-03	4.00E-01	9.00E-02	0.05%	99.1%	Logunif.
AM+3	MKD_AM	2	5.17E-03	3.89E-01	9.03E-02	5.00E-03	4.00E-01	9.00E-02	0.31%	97.1%	Logunif.
AM+3	MKD_AM	3	5.21E-03	3.93E-01	9.00E-02	5.00E-03	4.00E-01	9.00E-02	0.03%	98.1%	Logunif.
BH_SAND	PRMX_LOG	1	-1.63E+01	-1.40E+01	-1.51E+01	-1.63E+01	-1.40E+01	-1.52E+01	0.00%	99.8%	Uniform
BH_SAND	PRMX_LOG	2	-1.63E+01	-1.40E+01	-1.51E+01	-1.63E+01	-1.40E+01	-1.52E+01	0.00%	99.6%	Uniform
BH_SAND	PRMX_LOG	3	-1.63E+01	-1.40E+01	-1.51E+01	-1.63E+01	-1.40E+01	-1.52E+01	0.01%	99.1%	Uniform
BOREHOLE	DOMEGA	1	4.34E+00	2.16E+01	8.63E+00	4.20E+00	2.30E+01	8.63E+00	0.06%	92.1%	Cumul.
BOREHOLE	DOMEGA	2	4.27E+00	2.27E+01	8.62E+00	4.20E+00	2.30E+01	8.63E+00	0.14%	97.9%	Cumul.
BOREHOLE	DOMEGA	3	4.27E+00	2.30E+01	8.64E+00	4.20E+00	2.30E+01	8.63E+00	0.12%	99.6%	Cumul.
BOREHOLE	TAUFAIL	1	1.61E+00	7.70E+01	3.93E+01	1.60E+00	7.70E+01	3.93E+01	0.01%	99.9%	Uniform
BOREHOLE	TAUFAIL	2	1.81E+00	7.63E+01	3.93E+01	1.60E+00	7.70E+01	3.93E+01	0.06%	98.8%	Uniform
BOREHOLE	TAUFAIL	3	1.84E+00	7.67E+01	3.93E+01	1.60E+00	7.70E+01	3.93E+01	0.02%	99.3%	Uniform
CASTILER	COMP_RCK	1	2.07E-11	9.36E-11	5.33E-11	2.00E-11	1.00E-10	5.30E-11	0.54%	91.1%	Triangular
CASTILER	COMP_RCK	2	2.28E-11	9.48E-11	5.33E-11	2.00E-11	1.00E-10	5.30E-11	0.66%	90.0%	Triangular
CASTILER	COMP_RCK	3	2.14E-11	9.49E-11	5.33E-11	2.00E-11	1.00E-10	5.30E-11	0.51%	91.9%	Triangular
CASTILER	PRESSURE	1	1.12E+07	1.65E+07	1.36E+07	1.11E+07	1.70E+07	1.36E+07	0.03%	89.8%	Triangular
CASTILER	PRESSURE	2	1.14E+07	1.67E+07	1.36E+07	1.11E+07	1.70E+07	1.36E+07	0.04%	90.4%	Triangular
CASTILER	PRESSURE	3	1.14E+07	1.68E+07	1.36E+07	1.11E+07	1.70E+07	1.36E+07	0.02%	92.7%	Triangular
CASTILER	PRMX_LOG	1	-1.45E+01	-9.94E+00	-1.21E+01	-1.47E+01	-9.80E+00	-1.21E+01	0.01%	92.4%	Triangular
CASTILER	PRMX_LOG	2	-1.45E+01	-1.01E+01	-1.21E+01	-1.47E+01	-9.80E+00	-1.21E+01	0.01%	91.3%	Triangular
CASTILER	PRMX_LOG	3	-1.44E+01	-9.90E+00	-1.21E+01	-1.47E+01	-9.80E+00	-1.21E+01	0.03%	91.2%	Triangular

Information Only

Material	Property	Rep	Observed			Parameter Database			RPD of Means	% Range Covered	Dist
			Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Mean			
CONC_PLG	PRMX_LOG	1	-1.90E+01	-1.70E+01	-1.80E+01	-1.90E+01	-1.70E+01	-1.80E+01	0.00%	98.9%	Uniform
CONC_PLG	PRMX_LOG	2	-1.90E+01	-1.70E+01	-1.80E+01	-1.90E+01	-1.70E+01	-1.80E+01	0.00%	99.5%	Uniform
CONC_PLG	PRMX_LOG	3	-1.90E+01	-1.70E+01	-1.80E+01	-1.90E+01	-1.70E+01	-1.80E+01	0.00%	98.5%	Uniform
CULEBRA	APOROS	1	1.01E-04	9.82E-03	2.14E-03	1.00E-04	1.00E-02	2.10E-03	1.79%	98.1%	Logunif.
CULEBRA	APOROS	2	1.02E-04	9.58E-03	2.15E-03	1.00E-04	1.00E-02	2.10E-03	2.46%	95.7%	Logunif.
CULEBRA	APOROS	3	1.01E-04	9.70E-03	2.14E-03	1.00E-04	1.00E-02	2.10E-03	2.11%	96.9%	Logunif.
CULEBRA	DPOROS	1	1.00E-01	2.46E-01	1.55E-01	1.00E-01	2.50E-01	1.60E-01	3.21%	97.1%	Cumul.
CULEBRA	DPOROS	2	1.00E-01	2.48E-01	1.55E-01	1.00E-01	2.50E-01	1.60E-01	3.18%	98.3%	Cumul.
CULEBRA	DPOROS	3	1.00E-01	2.49E-01	1.55E-01	1.00E-01	2.50E-01	1.60E-01	3.18%	99.3%	Cumul.
CULEBRA	HMBLKLT	1	5.26E-02	4.99E-01	2.75E-01	5.00E-02	5.00E-01	2.75E-01	0.09%	99.2%	Uniform
CULEBRA	HMBLKLT	2	5.39E-02	4.96E-01	2.75E-01	5.00E-02	5.00E-01	2.75E-01	0.01%	98.3%	Uniform
CULEBRA	HMBLKLT	3	5.41E-02	4.96E-01	2.75E-01	5.00E-02	5.00E-01	2.75E-01	0.06%	98.3%	Uniform
CULEBRA	MINP_FAC	1	1.02E+00	9.95E+02	5.01E+02	1.00E+00	1.00E+03	5.01E+02	0.04%	99.5%	Uniform
CULEBRA	MINP_FAC	2	5.56E+00	9.96E+02	5.01E+02	1.00E+00	1.00E+03	5.01E+02	0.00%	99.2%	Uniform
CULEBRA	MINP_FAC	3	4.34E+00	9.92E+02	5.00E+02	1.00E+00	1.00E+03	5.01E+02	0.03%	98.9%	Uniform
DRZ_1	PRMX_LOG	1	-1.94E+01	-1.25E+01	-1.59E+01	-1.94E+01	-1.25E+01	-1.60E+01	0.32%	99.8%	Uniform
DRZ_1	PRMX_LOG	2	-1.94E+01	-1.26E+01	-1.60E+01	-1.94E+01	-1.25E+01	-1.60E+01	0.31%	98.8%	Uniform
DRZ_1	PRMX_LOG	3	-1.93E+01	-1.26E+01	-1.59E+01	-1.94E+01	-1.25E+01	-1.60E+01	0.33%	98.3%	Uniform
DRZ_PCS	PRMX_LOG	1	-2.05E+01	-1.72E+01	-1.88E+01	-2.07E+01	-1.70E+01	-1.88E+01	0.01%	88.9%	Triangular
DRZ_PCS	PRMX_LOG	2	-2.06E+01	-1.72E+01	-1.88E+01	-2.07E+01	-1.70E+01	-1.88E+01	0.01%	91.8%	Triangular
DRZ_PCS	PRMX_LOG	3	-2.06E+01	-1.72E+01	-1.88E+01	-2.07E+01	-1.70E+01	-1.88E+01	0.00%	92.5%	Triangular
GLOBAL	CLIMTIDX	1	1.00E+00	2.24E+00	1.31E+00	1.00E+00	2.25E+00	1.31E+00	0.16%	98.7%	Cumul.
GLOBAL	CLIMTIDX	2	1.00E+00	2.25E+00	1.31E+00	1.00E+00	2.25E+00	1.31E+00	0.21%	99.7%	Cumul.

Information Only

Material	Property	Rep	Observed			Parameter Database			RPD of Means	% Range Covered	Dist
			Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Mean			
GLOBAL	CLIMTIDX	3	1.00E+00	2.24E+00	1.31E+00	1.00E+00	2.25E+00	1.31E+00	0.16%	99.1%	Cumul.
GLOBAL	GDEPFAC	1	4.21E-03	4.99E-01	2.50E-01	0.00E+00	5.00E-01	2.50E-01	0.04%	98.9%	Uniform
GLOBAL	GDEPFAC	2	2.94E-03	4.96E-01	2.50E-01	0.00E+00	5.00E-01	2.50E-01	0.05%	98.6%	Uniform
GLOBAL	GDEPFAC	3	3.21E-03	4.96E-01	2.50E-01	0.00E+00	5.00E-01	2.50E-01	0.04%	98.5%	Uniform
GLOBAL	OXSTAT	1	4.38E-03	9.95E-01	5.00E-01	0.00E+00	1.00E+00	5.00E-01	0.02%	99.1%	Uniform
GLOBAL	OXSTAT	2	7.89E-03	9.92E-01	5.01E-01	0.00E+00	1.00E+00	5.00E-01	0.14%	98.4%	Uniform
GLOBAL	OXSTAT	3	8.10E-04	9.94E-01	5.00E-01	0.00E+00	1.00E+00	5.00E-01	0.03%	99.3%	Uniform
GLOBAL	PBRINE	1	6.36E-02	5.38E-01	2.64E-01	4.00E-02	5.70E-01	2.64E-01	0.01%	89.4%	Cumul.
GLOBAL	PBRINE	2	6.00E-02	5.35E-01	2.64E-01	4.00E-02	5.70E-01	2.64E-01	0.03%	89.6%	Cumul.
GLOBAL	PBRINE	3	6.12E-02	5.28E-01	2.64E-01	4.00E-02	5.70E-01	2.64E-01	0.05%	88.0%	Cumul.
GLOBAL	TRANSIDX	1	7.95E-03	9.98E-01	5.00E-01	0.00E+00	1.00E+00	5.00E-01	0.05%	99.0%	Uniform
GLOBAL	TRANSIDX	2	6.83E-03	9.92E-01	5.00E-01	0.00E+00	1.00E+00	5.00E-01	0.07%	98.5%	Uniform
GLOBAL	TRANSIDX	3	6.61E-03	9.92E-01	5.00E-01	0.00E+00	1.00E+00	5.00E-01	0.05%	98.6%	Uniform
PCS_T1	PORE_DIS	1	1.20E-01	8.06E+00	2.52E+00	1.10E-01	8.10E+00	2.52E+00	0.01%	99.3%	Cumul.
PCS_T1	PORE_DIS	2	1.10E-01	8.02E+00	2.52E+00	1.10E-01	8.10E+00	2.52E+00	0.12%	99.0%	Cumul.
PCS_T1	PORE_DIS	3	1.26E-01	8.06E+00	2.52E+00	1.10E-01	8.10E+00	2.52E+00	0.12%	99.4%	Cumul.
PCS_T1	POROSITY	1	6.62E-02	1.87E-01	1.26E-01	6.60E-02	1.87E-01	1.27E-01	0.05%	99.5%	Uniform
PCS_T1	POROSITY	2	6.65E-02	1.87E-01	1.27E-01	6.60E-02	1.87E-01	1.27E-01	0.01%	99.2%	Uniform
PCS_T1	POROSITY	3	6.71E-02	1.87E-01	1.26E-01	6.60E-02	1.87E-01	1.27E-01	0.03%	98.9%	Uniform
PCS_T1	PRMX_LOG	1	-2.08E+01	-1.21E+01	-1.64E+01	-2.08E+01	-1.20E+01	-1.64E+01	0.01%	98.4%	Uniform
PCS_T1	PRMX_LOG	2	-2.08E+01	-1.21E+01	-1.64E+01	-2.08E+01	-1.20E+01	-1.64E+01	0.02%	99.2%	Uniform
PCS_T1	PRMX_LOG	3	-2.08E+01	-1.21E+01	-1.64E+01	-2.08E+01	-1.20E+01	-1.64E+01	0.01%	98.4%	Uniform
PCS_T1	SAT_RBRN	1	1.96E-03	5.95E-01	2.50E-01	0.00E+00	6.00E-01	2.50E-01	0.03%	98.9%	Cumul.

Information Only

Material	Property	Rep	Observed			Parameter Database			RPD of Means	% Range Covered	Dist
			Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Mean			
PCS_T1	SAT_RBRN	2	6.93E-04	5.95E-01	2.50E-01	0.00E+00	6.00E-01	2.50E-01	0.09%	99.0%	Cumul.
PCS_T1	SAT_RBRN	3	2.91E-03	5.94E-01	2.50E-01	0.00E+00	6.00E-01	2.50E-01	0.05%	98.5%	Cumul.
PCS_T1	SAT_RGAS	1	9.61E-04	3.98E-01	2.00E-01	0.00E+00	4.00E-01	2.00E-01	0.05%	99.2%	Uniform
PCS_T1	SAT_RGAS	2	2.78E-03	3.99E-01	2.00E-01	0.00E+00	4.00E-01	2.00E-01	0.09%	99.0%	Uniform
PCS_T1	SAT_RGAS	3	5.95E-04	3.99E-01	2.00E-01	0.00E+00	4.00E-01	2.00E-01	0.09%	99.7%	Uniform
PCS_T2	POR2PERM	1	-1.63E+00	1.68E+00	5.66E-04	-1.72E+00	1.72E+00	0.00E+00	200.00%	96.1%	Normal
PCS_T2	POR2PERM	2	-1.58E+00	1.70E+00	1.13E-03	-1.72E+00	1.72E+00	0.00E+00	200.00%	95.5%	Normal
PCS_T2	POR2PERM	3	-1.58E+00	1.65E+00	1.19E-03	-1.72E+00	1.72E+00	0.00E+00	200.00%	93.8%	Normal
PCS_T2	POROSITY	1	2.51E-02	7.47E-02	5.00E-02	2.50E-02	7.50E-02	5.00E-02	0.00%	99.2%	Uniform
PCS_T2	POROSITY	2	2.55E-02	7.47E-02	4.99E-02	2.50E-02	7.50E-02	5.00E-02	0.13%	98.4%	Uniform
PCS_T2	POROSITY	3	2.50E-02	7.47E-02	5.00E-02	2.50E-02	7.50E-02	5.00E-02	0.01%	99.3%	Uniform
PCS_T3	POROSITY	1	1.44E-03	5.05E-02	2.47E-02	1.00E-03	5.19E-02	2.65E-02	7.12%	96.5%	Uniform
PCS_T3	POROSITY	2	1.46E-03	5.12E-02	2.44E-02	1.00E-03	5.19E-02	2.65E-02	8.23%	97.8%	Uniform
PCS_T3	POROSITY	3	1.49E-03	5.10E-02	2.40E-02	1.00E-03	5.19E-02	2.65E-02	9.80%	97.2%	Uniform
PU+3	MKD_PU	1	5.02E-03	3.96E-01	9.03E-02	5.00E-03	4.00E-01	9.00E-02	0.30%	99.0%	Logunif.
PU+3	MKD_PU	2	5.06E-03	3.95E-01	9.02E-02	5.00E-03	4.00E-01	9.00E-02	0.18%	98.7%	Logunif.
PU+3	MKD_PU	3	5.04E-03	4.00E-01	9.01E-02	5.00E-03	4.00E-01	9.00E-02	0.16%	99.9%	Logunif.
PU+4	MKD_PU	1	5.19E-04	9.69E+00	1.01E+00	5.00E-04	1.00E+01	1.00E+00	0.51%	96.9%	Logunif.
PU+4	MKD_PU	2	5.21E-04	9.55E+00	1.01E+00	5.00E-04	1.00E+01	1.00E+00	1.40%	95.5%	Logunif.
PU+4	MKD_PU	3	5.38E-04	9.64E+00	1.01E+00	5.00E-04	1.00E+01	1.00E+00	1.27%	96.4%	Logunif.
S_HALITE	COMP_RCK	1	3.77E-12	1.92E-10	9.75E-11	2.94E-12	1.92E-10	9.75E-11	0.04%	99.4%	Uniform
S_HALITE	COMP_RCK	2	3.20E-12	1.90E-10	9.75E-11	2.94E-12	1.92E-10	9.75E-11	0.02%	98.9%	Uniform
S_HALITE	COMP_RCK	3	3.46E-12	1.91E-10	9.74E-11	2.94E-12	1.92E-10	9.75E-11	0.06%	99.0%	Uniform

Information Only

Material	Property	Rep	Observed			Parameter Database			RPD of Means	% Range Covered	Dist
			Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Mean			
S_HALITE	POROSITY	1	1.13E-03	5.11E-02	1.82E-02	1.00E-03	5.19E-02	1.82E-02	0.17%	98.3%	Cumul.
S_HALITE	POROSITY	2	1.05E-03	5.12E-02	1.82E-02	1.00E-03	5.19E-02	1.82E-02	0.13%	98.4%	Cumul.
S_HALITE	POROSITY	3	1.11E-03	5.16E-02	1.82E-02	1.00E-03	5.19E-02	1.82E-02	0.24%	99.1%	Cumul.
S_HALITE	PRESSURE	1	1.11E+07	1.39E+07	1.25E+07	1.10E+07	1.39E+07	1.25E+07	0.04%	99.4%	Uniform
S_HALITE	PRESSURE	2	1.11E+07	1.39E+07	1.25E+07	1.10E+07	1.39E+07	1.25E+07	0.04%	99.4%	Uniform
S_HALITE	PRESSURE	3	1.10E+07	1.39E+07	1.25E+07	1.10E+07	1.39E+07	1.25E+07	0.03%	99.5%	Uniform
S_HALITE	PRMX_LOG	1	-2.40E+01	-2.10E+01	-2.25E+01	-2.40E+01	-2.10E+01	-2.25E+01	0.00%	98.8%	Uniform
S_HALITE	PRMX_LOG	2	-2.40E+01	-2.10E+01	-2.25E+01	-2.40E+01	-2.10E+01	-2.25E+01	0.00%	99.5%	Uniform
S_HALITE	PRMX_LOG	3	-2.40E+01	-2.10E+01	-2.25E+01	-2.40E+01	-2.10E+01	-2.25E+01	0.01%	99.7%	Uniform
S_MB139	PORE_DIS	1	4.99E-01	8.02E-01	6.44E-01	4.91E-01	8.42E-01	6.44E-01	0.04%	86.1%	Student
S_MB139	PORE_DIS	2	5.00E-01	7.99E-01	6.44E-01	4.91E-01	8.42E-01	6.44E-01	0.01%	85.1%	Student
S_MB139	PORE_DIS	3	5.03E-01	7.95E-01	6.44E-01	4.91E-01	8.42E-01	6.44E-01	0.00%	83.2%	Student
S_MB139	PRMX_LOG	1	-2.04E+01	-1.71E+01	-1.89E+01	-2.10E+01	-1.71E+01	-1.89E+01	0.05%	84.8%	Student
S_MB139	PRMX_LOG	2	-2.07E+01	-1.72E+01	-1.89E+01	-2.10E+01	-1.71E+01	-1.89E+01	0.04%	88.0%	Student
S_MB139	PRMX_LOG	3	-2.03E+01	-1.73E+01	-1.89E+01	-2.10E+01	-1.71E+01	-1.89E+01	0.03%	77.4%	Student
S_MB139	RELP_MOD	1	1.00E+00	4.00E+00	2.50E+00	1.00E+00	4.00E+00	4.00E+00	46.15%	100.0%	Delta
S_MB139	RELP_MOD	2	1.00E+00	4.00E+00	2.50E+00	1.00E+00	4.00E+00	4.00E+00	46.15%	100.0%	Delta
S_MB139	RELP_MOD	3	1.00E+00	4.00E+00	2.50E+00	1.00E+00	4.00E+00	4.00E+00	46.15%	100.0%	Delta
S_MB139	SAT_RBRN	1	1.50E-02	1.57E-01	8.36E-02	7.78E-03	1.74E-01	8.36E-02	0.01%	85.5%	Student
S_MB139	SAT_RBRN	2	2.13E-02	1.57E-01	8.38E-02	7.78E-03	1.74E-01	8.36E-02	0.20%	81.5%	Student
S_MB139	SAT_RBRN	3	2.14E-02	1.46E-01	8.38E-02	7.78E-03	1.74E-01	8.36E-02	0.17%	75.3%	Student
SHFTL_T1	PRMX_LOG	1	-2.00E+01	-1.69E+01	-1.82E+01	-2.00E+01	-1.65E+01	-1.80E+01	1.18%	88.6%	Cumul.
SHFTL_T1	PRMX_LOG	2	-1.99E+01	-1.70E+01	-1.82E+01	-2.00E+01	-1.65E+01	-1.80E+01	1.18%	83.4%	Cumul.

Information Only

Material	Property	Rep	Observed			Parameter Database			RPD of Means	% Range Covered	Dist
			Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Mean			
SHFTL_T1	PRMX_LOG	3	-1.96E+01	-1.70E+01	-1.82E+01	-2.00E+01	-1.65E+01	-1.80E+01	1.16%	72.6%	Cumul.
SHFTL_T2	PRMX_LOG	1	-2.24E+01	-1.80E+01	-2.01E+01	-2.25E+01	-1.80E+01	-1.98E+01	1.32%	96.3%	Cumul.
SHFTL_T2	PRMX_LOG	2	-2.23E+01	-1.81E+01	-2.01E+01	-2.25E+01	-1.80E+01	-1.98E+01	1.32%	92.1%	Cumul.
SHFTL_T2	PRMX_LOG	3	-2.23E+01	-1.80E+01	-2.01E+01	-2.25E+01	-1.80E+01	-1.98E+01	1.33%	96.2%	Cumul.
SHFTU	PRMX_LOG	1	-2.05E+01	-1.69E+01	-1.84E+01	-2.05E+01	-1.65E+01	-1.82E+01	1.21%	88.6%	Cumul.
SHFTU	PRMX_LOG	2	-2.04E+01	-1.68E+01	-1.84E+01	-2.05E+01	-1.65E+01	-1.82E+01	1.20%	90.6%	Cumul.
SHFTU	PRMX_LOG	3	-2.04E+01	-1.66E+01	-1.84E+01	-2.05E+01	-1.65E+01	-1.82E+01	1.21%	94.6%	Cumul.
SHFTU	SAT_RBRN	1	1.79E-03	6.00E-01	2.50E-01	0.00E+00	6.00E-01	2.50E-01	0.04%	99.7%	Cumul.
SHFTU	SAT_RBRN	2	2.76E-03	5.97E-01	2.50E-01	0.00E+00	6.00E-01	2.50E-01	0.03%	99.1%	Cumul.
SHFTU	SAT_RBRN	3	9.67E-04	5.93E-01	2.50E-01	0.00E+00	6.00E-01	2.50E-01	0.03%	98.7%	Cumul.
SHFTU	SAT_RGAS	1	9.09E-04	3.98E-01	2.00E-01	0.00E+00	4.00E-01	2.00E-01	0.06%	99.3%	Uniform
SHFTU	SAT_RGAS	2	2.05E-03	3.98E-01	2.00E-01	0.00E+00	4.00E-01	2.00E-01	0.00%	98.9%	Uniform
SHFTU	SAT_RGAS	3	2.70E-03	3.98E-01	2.00E-01	0.00E+00	4.00E-01	2.00E-01	0.01%	98.7%	Uniform
SOLMOD3	SOLVAR	1	-2.74E+00	5.56E-01	-4.90E-01	-2.76E+00	5.78E-01	-4.89E-01	0.22%	98.7%	Cumul.
SOLMOD3	SOLVAR	2	-2.71E+00	5.67E-01	-4.85E-01	-2.76E+00	5.78E-01	-4.89E-01	0.83%	98.1%	Cumul.
SOLMOD3	SOLVAR	3	-2.75E+00	5.42E-01	-4.90E-01	-2.76E+00	5.78E-01	-4.89E-01	0.17%	98.7%	Cumul.
SOLMOD4	SOLVAR	1	-1.99E+00	5.13E-01	-7.62E-01	-2.01E+00	5.29E-01	-7.61E-01	0.11%	98.4%	Cumul.
SOLMOD4	SOLVAR	2	-1.99E+00	5.04E-01	-7.62E-01	-2.01E+00	5.29E-01	-7.61E-01	0.09%	98.3%	Cumul.
SOLMOD4	SOLVAR	3	-1.99E+00	5.27E-01	-7.62E-01	-2.01E+00	5.29E-01	-7.61E-01	0.08%	99.3%	Cumul.
SPALLMOD	PARTDIAM	1	1.01E-03	9.65E-02	2.15E-02	1.00E-03	1.00E-01	2.15E-02	0.10%	96.5%	Logunif.
SPALLMOD	PARTDIAM	2	1.00E-03	9.76E-02	2.16E-02	1.00E-03	1.00E-01	2.15E-02	0.28%	97.6%	Logunif.
SPALLMOD	PARTDIAM	3	1.04E-03	9.82E-02	2.16E-02	1.00E-03	1.00E-01	2.15E-02	0.32%	98.2%	Logunif.
SPALLMOD	REPIPERM	1	2.42E-14	2.32E-12	5.15E-13	2.40E-14	2.40E-12	5.16E-13	0.26%	96.4%	Logunif.

Information Only

Material	Property	Rep	Observed			Parameter Database			RPD of Means	% Range Covered	Dist
			Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Mean			
SPALLMOD	REPIPERM	2	2.49E-14	2.37E-12	5.15E-13	2.40E-14	2.40E-12	5.16E-13	0.21%	98.6%	Logunif.
SPALLMOD	REPIPERM	3	2.45E-14	2.40E-12	5.16E-13	2.40E-14	2.40E-12	5.16E-13	0.01%	99.8%	Logunif.
SPALLMOD	REPIPOR	1	3.51E-01	6.58E-01	5.05E-01	3.50E-01	6.60E-01	5.05E-01	0.04%	99.0%	Uniform
SPALLMOD	REPIPOR	2	3.51E-01	6.58E-01	5.05E-01	3.50E-01	6.60E-01	5.05E-01	0.00%	99.1%	Uniform
SPALLMOD	REPIPOR	3	3.52E-01	6.58E-01	5.05E-01	3.50E-01	6.60E-01	5.05E-01	0.02%	99.0%	Uniform
SPALLMOD	TENSLSTR	1	1.20E+05	1.70E+05	1.45E+05	1.20E+05	1.70E+05	1.45E+05	0.01%	98.8%	Uniform
SPALLMOD	TENSLSTR	2	1.20E+05	1.70E+05	1.45E+05	1.20E+05	1.70E+05	1.45E+05	0.02%	99.4%	Uniform
SPALLMOD	TENSLSTR	3	1.20E+05	1.70E+05	1.45E+05	1.20E+05	1.70E+05	1.45E+05	0.01%	99.0%	Uniform
STEEL	CORRMCO2	1	5.37E-16	7.36E-14	1.34E-14	0.00E+00	7.92E-14	1.33E-14	0.56%	92.3%	Cumul.
STEEL	CORRMCO2	2	5.88E-16	6.56E-14	1.33E-14	0.00E+00	7.92E-14	1.33E-14	0.19%	82.1%	Cumul.
STEEL	CORRMCO2	3	1.39E-16	7.10E-14	1.33E-14	0.00E+00	7.92E-14	1.33E-14	0.23%	89.5%	Cumul.
STEEL	HUMCORR	1	3.23E-20	1.02E-15	2.42E-16	0.00E+00	1.03E-15	2.43E-16	0.04%	98.9%	Cumul.
STEEL	HUMCORR	2	7.79E-21	9.88E-16	2.42E-16	0.00E+00	1.03E-15	2.43E-16	0.11%	95.9%	Cumul.
STEEL	HUMCORR	3	1.56E-20	1.01E-15	2.43E-16	0.00E+00	1.03E-15	2.43E-16	0.01%	98.3%	Cumul.
TH+4	MKD_TH	1	5.20E-04	9.69E+00	1.01E+00	5.00E-04	1.00E+01	1.00E+00	1.07%	96.9%	Logunif.
TH+4	MKD_TH	2	5.25E-04	9.76E+00	1.02E+00	5.00E-04	1.00E+01	1.00E+00	1.52%	97.6%	Logunif.
TH+4	MKD_TH	3	5.24E-04	9.75E+00	1.01E+00	5.00E-04	1.00E+01	1.00E+00	0.97%	97.5%	Logunif.
U+4	MKD_U	1	5.45E-04	9.42E+00	1.00E+00	5.00E-04	1.00E+01	1.00E+00	0.34%	94.2%	Logunif.
U+4	MKD_U	2	5.20E-04	9.74E+00	1.01E+00	5.00E-04	1.00E+01	1.00E+00	0.81%	97.4%	Logunif.
U+4	MKD_U	3	5.07E-04	9.88E+00	1.01E+00	5.00E-04	1.00E+01	1.00E+00	1.38%	98.8%	Logunif.
U+6	MKD_U	1	3.14E-05	1.97E-02	3.07E-03	3.00E-05	2.00E-02	3.10E-03	0.93%	98.3%	Logunif.
U+6	MKD_U	2	3.11E-05	1.99E-02	3.08E-03	3.00E-05	2.00E-02	3.10E-03	0.67%	99.3%	Logunif.
U+6	MKD_U	3	3.06E-05	1.88E-02	3.07E-03	3.00E-05	2.00E-02	3.10E-03	0.81%	93.8%	Logunif.

Information Only

Material	Property	Rep	Observed			Parameter Database			RPD of Means	% Range Covered	Dist
			Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Mean			
WAS_AREA	BIOGENFC	1	2.82E-03	9.97E-01	5.01E-01	0.00E+00	1.00E+00	5.00E-01	0.11%	99.4%	Uniform
WAS_AREA	BIOGENFC	2	1.80E-03	9.97E-01	5.00E-01	0.00E+00	1.00E+00	5.00E-01	0.07%	99.5%	Uniform
WAS_AREA	BIOGENFC	3	2.65E-04	9.99E-01	5.00E-01	0.00E+00	1.00E+00	5.00E-01	0.07%	99.9%	Uniform
WAS_AREA	BRUCITEC	1	4.80E-08	5.63E-08	5.20E-08	4.76E-08	5.64E-08	5.20E-08	0.01%	94.5%	Normal
WAS_AREA	BRUCITEC	2	4.77E-08	5.61E-08	5.20E-08	4.76E-08	5.64E-08	5.20E-08	0.01%	95.7%	Normal
WAS_AREA	BRUCITEC	3	4.77E-08	5.61E-08	5.20E-08	4.76E-08	5.64E-08	5.20E-08	0.00%	96.4%	Normal
WAS_AREA	BRUCITEH	1	1.82E-08	2.18E-08	2.00E-08	1.81E-08	2.19E-08	2.00E-08	0.00%	95.9%	Normal
WAS_AREA	BRUCITEH	2	1.82E-08	2.17E-08	2.00E-08	1.81E-08	2.19E-08	2.00E-08	0.01%	91.7%	Normal
WAS_AREA	BRUCITEH	3	1.82E-08	2.17E-08	2.00E-08	1.81E-08	2.19E-08	2.00E-08	0.00%	93.1%	Normal
WAS_AREA	BRUCITES	1	4.34E-08	6.06E-08	5.20E-08	4.27E-08	6.13E-08	5.20E-08	0.01%	92.4%	Normal
WAS_AREA	BRUCITES	2	4.30E-08	6.06E-08	5.20E-08	4.27E-08	6.13E-08	5.20E-08	0.02%	95.1%	Normal
WAS_AREA	BRUCITES	3	4.28E-08	6.11E-08	5.20E-08	4.27E-08	6.13E-08	5.20E-08	0.00%	98.2%	Normal
WAS_AREA	GRATMICH	1	5.57E-12	5.54E-10	1.80E-10	0.00E+00	1.03E-09	5.14E-10	96.34%	53.4%	Uniform
WAS_AREA	GRATMICH	2	2.65E-12	5.31E-10	1.78E-10	0.00E+00	1.03E-09	5.14E-10	97.00%	51.4%	Uniform
WAS_AREA	GRATMICH	3	6.73E-13	5.16E-10	1.84E-10	0.00E+00	1.03E-09	5.14E-10	94.70%	50.2%	Uniform
WAS_AREA	GRATMICI	1	3.26E-11	5.55E-10	2.94E-10	3.08E-11	5.57E-10	2.94E-10	0.05%	99.4%	Uniform
WAS_AREA	GRATMICI	2	3.40E-11	5.53E-10	2.94E-10	3.08E-11	5.57E-10	2.94E-10	0.09%	98.7%	Uniform
WAS_AREA	GRATMICI	3	3.15E-11	5.52E-10	2.94E-10	3.08E-11	5.57E-10	2.94E-10	0.04%	99.0%	Uniform
WAS_AREA	HYMAGCON	1	3.49E-13	3.40E-10	1.70E-10	0.00E+00	3.40E-10	1.70E-10	0.06%	99.9%	Uniform
WAS_AREA	HYMAGCON	2	3.10E-12	3.37E-10	1.70E-10	0.00E+00	3.40E-10	1.70E-10	0.06%	98.2%	Uniform
WAS_AREA	HYMAGCON	3	5.60E-13	3.39E-10	1.70E-10	0.00E+00	3.40E-10	1.70E-10	0.06%	99.7%	Uniform
WAS_AREA	PROBDEG	1	1.00E+00	2.00E+00	1.25E+00	1.00E+00	2.00E+00	1.25E+00	0.00%	100.0%	Delta
WAS_AREA	PROBDEG	2	1.00E+00	2.00E+00	1.25E+00	1.00E+00	2.00E+00	1.25E+00	0.00%	100.0%	Delta

Information Only

Material	Property	Rep	Observed			Parameter Database			RPD of Means	% Range Covered	Dist
			Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Mean			
WAS_AREA	PROBDEG	3	1.00E+00	2.00E+00	1.25E+00	1.00E+00	2.00E+00	1.25E+00	0.00%	100.0%	Delta
WAS_AREA	SAT_RBRN	1	2.71E-03	5.51E-01	2.76E-01	0.00E+00	5.52E-01	2.76E-01	0.07%	99.3%	Uniform
WAS_AREA	SAT_RBRN	2	6.63E-04	5.47E-01	2.76E-01	0.00E+00	5.52E-01	2.76E-01	0.05%	99.0%	Uniform
WAS_AREA	SAT_RBRN	3	3.97E-03	5.48E-01	2.76E-01	0.00E+00	5.52E-01	2.76E-01	0.11%	98.6%	Uniform
WAS_AREA	SAT_RGAS	1	7.72E-04	1.49E-01	7.50E-02	0.00E+00	1.50E-01	7.50E-02	0.01%	98.5%	Uniform
WAS_AREA	SAT_RGAS	2	6.34E-04	1.50E-01	7.50E-02	0.00E+00	1.50E-01	7.50E-02	0.04%	99.3%	Uniform
WAS_AREA	SAT_RGAS	3	7.07E-04	1.49E-01	7.51E-02	0.00E+00	1.50E-01	7.50E-02	0.10%	98.7%	Uniform
WAS_AREA	SAT_WICK	1	3.06E-03	9.92E-01	5.00E-01	0.00E+00	1.00E+00	5.00E-01	0.05%	98.9%	Uniform
WAS_AREA	SAT_WICK	2	2.32E-03	9.99E-01	5.00E-01	0.00E+00	1.00E+00	5.00E-01	0.09%	99.7%	Uniform
WAS_AREA	SAT_WICK	3	4.40E-03	9.93E-01	5.00E-01	0.00E+00	1.00E+00	5.00E-01	0.02%	98.8%	Uniform

Information Only